PRESS RELEASE

25 AUGUST 2015

ANNUAL UPDATE MINERAL RESOURCE AND ORE RESERVES AS AT 30 JUNE 2015

Metals X is pleased to provide its annual Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve Estimates across its diverse group of metals and projects as at 30 June 2015.

HIGHLIGHTS

Gold Division

- The aggregated total mineral resource estimate as at 30 June 2015 is 12.93 million ounces (186 million tonnes at 2.16 g/t gold). This excludes the recent and yet to be completed acquisitions of Mt Henry*, which contains 1.66 million ounces (43.18 million tonnes at 1.19g/t Au), Grosvenor* which contains 2.22 million ounces (49.99 million tonnes @ 1.38 g/t) and Georges Reward (22,850 ounces (375,000 tonnes at 1.89 g/t Au).
- The aggregated total ore reserve for group was 2.21 million ounces (26.2 million tonnes at 2.63 g/t Au). Again this doesn't include Mt Henry, Grosvenor or George's Reward.

Tin Division

- The aggregated total mineral resource estimate (MLX's 50% share only) as at 30 June 2015 is 147,200 tonnes of tin metal (18.2 million tonnes at 0.81% Sn). This represents an overall 20% increase in contained tin metal resulting from a 28% increase in overall tonnes and a 6% decrease in average grade. Co-product total mineral resource estimates of copper on the same basis is 44,000 tonnes of copper metal (16.93 million tonnes at 0.26% Cu).
- The aggregated total ore reserve estimate (MLX's 50% share only) as a 30 June 2015 is 90,000 tonnes of tin metal (13.82 million tonnes at 0.65% Sn). This represents a 52% increase in tin metals in reserve after depletion from production.

Nickel Division

- The mineral resource and ore reserve estimates for the Wingellina Project remains unchanged during the year.
- The total mineral resource estimate is 216.5 million tonnes at 0.95% nickel, 0.07% Cobalt and 45.7% Fe2O3. The total ore reserve is also unchanged at 167.5 million tonnes at 0.98% Nickel, 0.08% Cobalt and 47.3% Fe_2O_3.

Rover Project

- The mineral resource estimates for the Rover 1 project, Explorer 108 and smaller Explorer 142 deposit remain unchanged for the year.
- The Rover 1 total mineral resource estimate is 6.81 million tonnes at 1.73g/t Au. 1.2% Cu, 0.14% Bi, 0.06% Co and 2.07g/t Ag.
- The Explorer 108 total mineral resource is 11.87 million tonnes at 2.0% Pb, 3.24% Zn, 0.36% Cu and 11.1g/t Ag.
- The Explorer 142 mineral resource estimate totals 175,600 tonnes at 5.21% Cu and 0.21 g/t Au.

**for detail on Mt Henry refer to public releases by Panoramic Resources Ltd and Matsa Resources Limited. For detail on Grosvenor refer to public releases by RNI NL. For detail on Georges Reward refer to public releases by Northern Mining Limited.*

The following sections contain tables in various detail of estimates for each division.

METALS X LIMITED

Metals X Limited is a diversified group exploring and developing minerals and metals in Australia. It is Australia's largest tin producer, a top 10 gold producer and holds a pipeline of assets from exploration to development including the world class Wingellina NIckel Project.

CORPORATE DIRECTORY

ASX Code: **MLX**
OTCQX Code: **MTXEF**

Level 3, 18-32 Parliament Place
West Perth WA 6005
Australia

PO Box 1959
West Perth WA 6872
Australia

t: +61 8 9220 5700
f: +61 8 9220 5757

reception@metalsx.com.au
www.metalsx.com.au

ENQUIRIES

Peter Cook
CEO & Executive Director

Warren Hallam
Executive Director

Rod Corps
Manager - Investor Relations

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENTS

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources compiled by Metals X technical employees under the supervision of Mr. Jake Russell B.Sc. (Hons), who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Russell is a full-time employee of the company, and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposit under consideration and to the activities which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Russell consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. Mr Russell is eligible to participate in short and long term incentive plans and holds performance rights in the Company as has been previously dislosed.

The information in this report that relate to Ore Reserves has been compiled by Metals X technical employees under the supervision of Mr Michael Poepjes BEng (Mining Engineering), MSc (Min. Econ) M.AusIMM. Mr Poepjes is a full-time employee of the company. Mr Poepjes has sufficient experience which is relevant to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposit under consideration and to the activities which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Poepjes consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. Mr Poepjes is eligible to participate in short and long term incentive plans and holds performance rights in the Company as has been previously disclosed.

Metals X Limited - Gold Division
Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves

GOLD DIVISION
(as at 30 June 2015)

1. Gold Division – Executive Summary

METALS X LIMITED
Gold Division
Mineral Resource Statement - Rounded for Reporting
30/06/2015

Project	*Gold*		
	kT	*Grade*	*k Ounces Au*
MEASURED			
CMGP	396	1.85	23
HGO	1,713	3.62	199
SKO	962	2.91	90
Sub-Total	**3,071**	**3.17**	**313**
INDICATED			
CMGP	76,307	2.15	5,265
HGO	6,962	2.70	604
SKO	23,856	2.32	1,777
Sub-Total	**107,124**	**2.22**	**7,646**
INFERRED			
CMGP	49,924	1.96	3,146
HGO	5,074	2.33	379
SKO	20,838	2.15	1,443
Sub-Total	**75,836**	**2.04**	**4,968**
TOTAL			
CMGP	126,626	2.07	8,434
HGO	13,750	2.68	1,183
SKO	45,656	2.25	3,309
Grand Total	**186,032**	**2.16**	**12,926**

METALS X LIMITED
Gold Division
Ore Reserve Statement - Rounded for Reporting
30/06/2015

Project	*Gold*		
	kT	*Grade*	*k Ounces Au*
PROVEN			
CMGP	-	-	-
HGO	516	3.79	63
SKO	684	1.21	27
Sub-Total	**1,199**	**2.32**	**89**
PROBABALE			
CMGP	20,466	2.58	1,700
HGO	3,055	2.81	276
SKO	1,493	3.08	148
Sub-Total	**25,014**	**2.64**	**2,124**
TOTAL			
CMGP	20,466	2.58	1,700
HGO	3,571	2.95	339
SKO	2,177	2.49	174
Grand Total	**26,213**	**2.63**	**2,213**

2. Higginsville Gold Operations

2.1 Mineral Resource Estimate by Orebody & Category

	METALS X HIGGINSVILLE GOLD PROJECT Mineral Resource Statement 30/06/2015											
Ore Body	Measured			Indicated			Inferred			Total		
		Gold			Gold			Gold			Gold	
	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
Trident												
Poseidon	-	-	-	79,056	8.78	22,315	475,445	4.16	63,607	554,501	4.82	85,922
Eastern Zone	-	-	-	183,718	5.22	30,818	-	-	-	183,718	5.22	30,818
Athena 10	1,368	25.89	1,139	26,764	14.17	12,195	-		-	28,132	14.74	13,333
Athena 30	2,354	23.66	1,790	28,443	6.08	5,557			-	30,797	7.42	7,347
Athena 40	67,209	4.78	10,338	56,750	7.93	14,472	-	-	-	123,959	6.23	24,811
Athena 50	9,193	15.77	4,661	25,484	5.69	4,659	11,070	6.61	2,353	45,748	7.94	11,672
Western Zone	261,137	3.07	25,809			-			-	261,137	3.07	25,809
EOS & E-Veins	19,502	4.82	3,022	212,816	4.65	31,831	6,889	3.61	800	239,208	4.64	35,653
Apollo	266,787	3.08	26,396	62,369	3.58	7,181	28,599	4.50	4,134	357,756	3.28	37,711
Artemis	16,009	24.63	12,677	61,026	16.26	31,907	1,180	26.88	1,020	78,215	18.14	45,604
Helios	254,900	5.65	46,343	271,513	4.65	40,561	22,451	5.97	4,311	548,865	5.17	91,216
Ares	-	-		83,012	2.57	6,859	-	-	-	83,012	2.57	6,859
Pluto	-	-		-	-	-	75,303	7.22	17,480	75,303	7.22	17,480
HG Stockpiles	10,690	4.17	1,434	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,690	4.17	1,434
MG/LG Stockpiles	6,665	1.18	253	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,665	1.18	253
Chalice												
Atlas	133,000	3.20	13,683	31,000	2.40	2,392	-	-	-	164,000	3.05	16,075
Grampians	34,000	3.70	4,045	53,000	4.10	6,986	-	-	-	87,000	3.94	11,031
Olympus	86,000	5.40	14,931	236,000	3.50	26,556	-	-	-	322,000	4.01	41,487
Olympus FW	13,000	4.50	1,881	70,000	4.50	10,127	102,000	4.50	14,757	185,000	4.50	26,765
Ultramafic	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,000	3.20	1,029	10,000	3.20	1,029
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kronos	-	-	-	111,000	3.10	11,063	74,000	3.80	9,041	185,000	3.38	20,104
Broken Stocks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corona - Fairplay												
Corona	-	-	-	16,441	39.77	21,024	5,983	8.25	1,587	22,424	31.36	22,611
Corona Shear	-	-	-	-	-	-	49,976	3.77	6,057	49,976	3.77	6,057
Fairplay Group	-	-	-	1,611,095	1.74	90,152	1,221,788	2.15	84,507	2,832,883	1.92	174,658
Fairplay NE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	197,864	1.54	9,804	197,864	1.54	9,804
Vine												
650 550 link	-	-	-	-	-	-	215,855	1.76	12,207	215,855	1.76	12,207
450 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,753	1.54	1,470	29,753	1.54	1,470
550 System	-	-	-	93,619	2.76	8,313	60,005	3.03	5,848	153,624	2.87	14,162
650 System	-	-	-	96,362	1.52	4,707	162,807	2.15	11,243	259,169	1.91	15,949
Lake Cowan												
Atriedies	-	-	-	215,137	1.66	11,502	213,507	1.55	10,613	428,644	1.60	22,115
Josephine	71,607	1.82	4,183	203,482	1.61	10,546	41,527	1.49	1,992	316,616	1.64	16,721
Louis	118,480	2.21	8,422	669,013	1.49	32,135	107,453	1.36	4,698	894,946	1.57	45,255
Napoleon	-	-	-	321,143	1.80	18,613	121,588	1.96	7,671	442,731	1.85	26,284
Rose	-	-	-	-	-	-	217,135	1.18	8,261	217,135	1.18	8,261
Two Boys												
Two Boys Group	-	-	-	506,628	1.49	24,270	623,864	2.01	40,316	1,130,492	1.78	64,586
Paleochannels												
Wills	-	-	-	123,820	2.70	10,748	72,370	1.70	3,955	196,190	2.33	14,704
Mitchell 3	-	-	-	330,000	1.80	19,098	24,000	1.40	1,080	354,000	1.77	20,178
Mitchell 4	-	-	-	214,000	2.80	19,265	11,000	3.80	1,344	225,000	2.85	20,609
Pluto	-	-	-	534,757	1.89	32,494	13,991	1.23	553	548,748	1.87	33,048
Other												
Musket	-	-	-	350,380	2.79	31,429	341,265	1.82	19,969	691,645	2.31	51,398
Mousehollow	-	-	-	-	-	-	425,600	1.60	21,893	425,600	1.60	21,893
Pioneer	-	-	-	84,150	1.65	4,464	110,150	1.63	5,772	194,300	1.64	10,237
Stockpiles												
Trident ROM Stocks	57,259	1.32	2,434	-	-	-	-	-	-	57,259	1.32	2,434
GIC	5,106	26.58	4,364	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,106	26.58	4,364
Satellite Stockpiles	180,841	1.00	5,805	-	-	-	-	-	-	180,841	1.00	5,805
Lake Cowan	98,246	1.78	5,633	-	-	-	-	-	-	98,246	1.78	5,633
Totals	**1,713,356**	**3.62**	**199,243**	**6,961,980**	**2.70**	**604,241**	**5,074,418**	**2.33**	**379,372**	**13,749,753**	**2.68**	**1,182,856**

2.2 Ore Reserve Estimate by Orebody & Category

	METALS X HIGGINSVILLE GOLD PROJECT Ore Reserve Statement 30/06/2015								
Ore Body	**Proven**			**Probable**			**Total**		
	Tonnes	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*
Trident									
Poseidon	-	-	-	99,007	4.90	15,597	99,007	4.90	15,597
Eastern Zone	-	-	-	131,794	3.60	15,254	131,794	3.60	15,254
Athena 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Athena 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Athena 40	13,800	5.30	2,352	120,821	5.00	19,422	134,621	5.03	21,774
Athena 50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western Zone	14,728	4.10	1,941	33,932	1.90	2,073	48,660	2.57	4,014
EOS & E-Veins	-	-	-	90,742	3.50	10,211	90,742	3.50	10,211
Apollo	10,207	4.30	1,411	45,789	3.20	4,711	55,996	3.40	6,122
Artemis	7,255	1.10	257	127,127	8.30	33,924	134,382	7.91	34,181
Helios	196,306	5.80	36,606	338,793	3.10	33,767	535,099	4.09	70,373
Ares	-	-	-	24,326	2.70	2,112	24,326	2.70	2,112
Pluto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HG Stockpiles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MG/LG Stockpiles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
							-	-	-
Chalice							-	-	-
							-	-	-
Atlas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grampians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Olympus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Olympus FW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ultramafic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kronos	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Broken Stocks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
							-	-	-
Corona - Fairplay							-	-	-
							-	-	-
Corona	-	-	-	65,976	10.83	22,963	65,976	10.83	22,963
Corona Shear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairplay Group	-	-	-	270,826	2.45	21,368	270,826	2.45	21,368
Fairplay NE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Halo			-			-	-	-	-
							-	-	-
Vine							-	-	-
	-	-		-	-		-	-	-
650 550 link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
450 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
550 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
650 System			-			-	-	-	-
			-			-	-	-	-
Lake Cowan			-			-	-	-	-
	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
Atriedies			-	64,271	2.20	4,546	64,271	2.20	4,546
Josephine	7,213	2.17	502	21,140	2.71	1,841	28,353	2.57	2,343
Louis	105,461	2.18	7,399	36,055	1.73	2,006	141,516	2.07	9,404
Napoleon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rose			-			-	-	-	-
			-			-	-	-	-
Two Boys			-			-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Two Boys Group			-			-	-	-	-
						-	-	-	-
Paleochannels						-	-	-	-
	-	-				-	-	-	-
Wills	-	-	-	70,181	3.06	6,911	70,181	3.06	6,911
Mitchell 3	-	-	-	807,223	1.54	40,056	807,223	1.54	40,056
Mitchell 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pluto			-	273,568	1.52	13,345	273,568	1.52	13,345
						-	-	-	-
Other						-	-	-	-
	-	-				-	-	-	-
Musket	-	-	-	176,786	2.86	16,282	176,786	2.86	16,282
Mousehollow	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pioneer			-	76,124	1.41	3,451	76,124	1.41	3,451
						-	-	-	-
Stockpiles							-	-	-
							-	-	-
Trident ROM Stocks	57,259	1.32	2,434	-	-	-	57,259	1.32	2,434
GIC	5,106	26.58	4,364	-	-	-	5,106	26.58	4,364
Satellite Stockpiles	-	-	-	180,841	1.00	5,805	180,841	1.00	5,805
Lake Cowan	98,246	1.78	5,633	-	-	-	98,246	1.78	5,633
							-	-	-
Totals	**515,582**	**3.79**	**62,899**	**3,055,322**	**2.81**	**275,644**	**3,570,903**	**2.95**	**338,543**

2.3 Annual Mineral Resource Inventory Changes

RESOURCE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESOURCE			2015 JUNE RESOURCE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Trident												
Poseidon	410,997	4.37	57,783	554,501	4.82	85,922	143,504	6.10	28,139	5,811	0.88	164
Eastern Zone	197,456	5.00	31,742	183,718	5.22	30,818	-13,738	2.09	-923	19,372	2.37	1,475
Athena 10	28,399	13.45	12,279	28,132	14.74	13,333	-267	-122.87	1,054	39,257	3.09	3,899
Athena 30	34,399	7.41	8,200	30,797	7.42	7,347	-3,603	7.37	-854	-	-	-
Athena 40	123,830	6.13	24,421	123,959	6.23	24,811	129	93.79	390	-	-	-
Athena 50	42,819	7.98	10,987	45,748	7.94	11,672	2,929	7.28	685	-	-	-
Western Zone	297,844	3.20	30,643	261,137	3.07	25,809	-36,707	4.10	-4,834	64,025	2.72	5,595
EOS & E-Veins	236,820	4.65	35,437	239,208	4.64	35,653	2,388	2.80	215	4,070	4.69	614
Apollo	358,519	3.28	37,808	357,756	3.28	37,711	-763	3.98	-98	19,953	2.93	1,878
Artemis	188,854	15.44	93,749	78,215	18.14	45,604	-110,639	13.53	-48,145	122,176	11.82	46,429
Helios	687,089	5.57	123,105	548,865	5.17	91,216	-138,224	7.18	-31,889	227,741	5.39	39,435
HG Stockpiles	14,308	6.40	2,944	10,690	4.17	1,434	-3,618	12.98	-1,510	-	-	-
MG/LG Stockpiles	212	2.30	16	6,665	1.18	253	6,453	1.14	237	-	-	-
Chalice												
Atlas	138,668	2.81	12,509	164,000	3.05	16,075	25,332	4.38	3,567	-	-	-
Grampians	83,364	3.86	10,346	87,000	3.94	11,031	3,636	5.86	685	-	-	-
Olympus	463,909	4.76	70,994	322,000	4.01	41,487	-141,909	6.47	-29,507	203,184	4.43	28,929
Olympus FW	209,776	4.59	30,930	185,000	4.50	26,765	-24,776	5.23	-4,164	-	-	-
Ultramafic	13,680	3.20	1,407	10,000	3.20	1,029	-3,680	3.20	-379	-	-	-
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kronos	178,041	3.62	20,743	185,000	3.38	20,104	6,959	-2.86	-639	-	-	-
Broken Stocks	69,464	4.70	10,497	-	-	-	-69,464	4.70	-10,497	-	-	-
Corona - Fairplay												
Corona	22,424	31.36	22,611	22,424	31.36	22,611	-	-	0	-	-	-
Corona Shear	49,976	3.77	6,057	49,976	3.77	6,057	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairplay Group	756,399	1.94	47,273	2,832,883	1.92	174,658	2,076,484	1.91	127,385	-	-	-
Fairplay NE	1,007,487	2.68	86,950	-	-	-	-1,007,487	2.68	-86,950	-	-	-
Halo	197,864	1.54	9,804	197,864	1.54	9,804	-	-	0	-	-	-
Vine												
650 550 link	215,855	1.76	12,207	215,855	1.76	12,207	-	-	-	-	-	-
450 System	29,753	1.54	1,470	29,753	1.54	1,470	-	-	0	-	-	-
550 System	153,624	2.87	14,162	153,624	2.87	14,162	-	-	-	-	-	-
650 System	259,169	1.91	15,949	259,169	1.91	15,949	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake Cowan												
Atriedies	76,061	1.65	4,031	428,644	1.60	22,115	352,583	1.60	18,084	-	-	-
Josephine	215,669	1.61	11,188	316,616	1.64	16,721	100,947	1.70	5,533	44,644	1.63	2,341
Louis	1,240,581	1.63	65,124	894,946	1.57	45,255	-345,635	1.79	-19,869	297,358	1.87	17,897
Napoleon	305,506	1.73	16,944	442,731	1.85	26,284	137,225	2.12	9,340	-	-	-
Rose	217,135	1.18	8,261	217,135	1.18	8,261	-	-	-	-	-	-
Two Boys												
Two Boys Group	1,930,930	1.51	93,912	1,130,492	1.78	64,586	-800,438	1.14	-29,326	-	-	-
Paleochannels												
Wills	196,190	2.33	14,704	196,190	2.33	14,704	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell 3	354,000	1.77	20,178	354,000	1.77	20,178	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell 4	225,000	2.85	20,609	225,000	2.85	20,609	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pluto	549,000	1.87	33,063	548,748	1.87	33,048	-252	1.87	-15	-	-	-
Other												
Musket	691,645	2.31	51,398	691,645	2.31	51,398	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mousehollow	425,600	1.60	21,893	425,600	1.60	21,893	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pioneer	194,300	1.64	10,237	194,300	1.64	10,237	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stockpiles												
Trident ROM Stocks	12,722	4.78	1,956	57,259	1.32	2,434	44,537	0.33	478	-	-	-
GIC	6,329	39.14	7,964	5,106	26.58	4,364	-1,223	91.58	-3,600	-	-	-
Satellite Stockpiles	195,832	1.01	6,341	180,841	1.00	5,805	-14,991	1.11	-536	-	-	-
Lake Cowan	-	-	-	98,246	1.78	5,633	98,246	1.78	5,633	-	-	-
Total	**8,525,950**	**3.46**	**948,570**	**9,484,010**	**2.96**	**903,629**	**958,060**	**-1.46**	**-44,942**	**1,047,591**	**4.41**	**148,656**

2.4 Annual Ore Reserve Inventory

RESERVE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESERVE			2015 JUNE RESERVE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
		Gold			Gold			Gold			Gold	
	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
Trident												
Poseidon	88,160.00	4.91	13,919	99,007	4.90	15,597	10,847	4.81	1,678	5,811	0.88	164
Eastern Zone	155,003.00	3.87	19,292	131,794	3.60	15,254	-23,209	5.41	-4,038	19,372	2.37	1,475
Athena 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,257	3.09	3,899
Athena 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Athena 40	153,172.00	4.94	24,325	134,621	5.03	21,774	-18,551	4.28	-2,551	-	-	-
Athena 50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western Zone	94,636.00	3.36	10,216	48,660	2.57	4,014	-45,976	4.20	-6,202	64,025	2.72	5,595
EOS & E-Veins	135,252.00	3.99	17,339	90,742	3.50	10,211	-44,510	4.98	-7,128	4,070	4.69	614
Apollo	40,212.00	4.33	5,604	55,996	3.40	6,122	15,784	1.02	518	19,953	2.93	1,878
Artemis	330,354.00	8.82	93,729	134,382	7.91	34,181	-195,972	9.45	-59,548	122,176	11.82	46,429
Helios	588,424.00	4.99	94,335	535,099	4.09	70,373	-53,325	13.98	-23,963	227,741	5.39	39,435
HG Stockpiles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MG/LG Stockpiles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chalice												
Atlas	37,057.80	3.48	4,148	-	-	-	-37,058	3.48	-4,148	-	-	-
Grampians	3,859.20	3.19	396	-	-	-	-3,859	3.19	-396	-	-	-
Olympus	353,853.70	4.54	51,673	-	-	-	-353,854	4.54	-51,673	203,184	4.43	28,929
Olympus FW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ultramafic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kronos	55,735.20	3.48	6,233	-	-	-	-55,735	3.48	-6,233	-	-	-
Broken Stocks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corona - Fairplay												
Corona	65,976.00	10.83	22,963	65,976	10.83	22,963	-	-	0	-	-	-
Corona Shear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairplay Group	160,072.00	6.67	34,329	270,826	2.45	21,368	110,754	-3.64	-12,961	-	-	-
Fairplay NE	256,118.00	1.79	14,764	-	-	-	-256,118	1.79	-14,764	-	-	-
Halo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vine												
650 550 link	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
450 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
550 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
650 System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake Cowan												
Atriedies	-	-	-	64,271	2.20	4,546	64,271	2.20	4,546	-	-	-
Josephine	23,455.30	1.49	1,123	28,353	2.57	2,343	4,898	7.75	1,220	44,644	1.63	2,341
Louis	378,280.00	1.99	24,214	141,516	2.07	9,404	-236,764	1.95	-14,810	297,358	1.87	17,897
Napoleon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Two Boys												
Two Boys Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paleochannels												
Wills	70,181.00	3.06	6,911	70,181	3.06	6,911	-	-	0	-	-	-
Mitchell 3	807,223.00	1.54	40,056	807,223	1.54	40,056	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pluto	273,568.00	1.52	13,345	273,568	1.52	13,345	-	-	0	-	-	-
Other												
Musket	176,786.00	2.86	16,282	176,786	2.86	16,282	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mousehollow	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pioneer	76,124.00	1.41	3,451	76,124	1.41	3,451	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stockpiles												
Trident ROM Stocks	12,722.20	4.78	1,956	57,259	1.32	2,434	44,537	0.33	478	-	-	-
GIC	6,329.04	39.14	7,964	5,106	26.58	4,364	-1,223	91.58	-3,600	-	-	-
Satellite Stockpiles	195,832.00	1.01	6,341	180,841	1.00	5,805	-14,991	1.11	-536	-	-	-
Lake Cowan	-	-	-	98,246	1.78	5,633	98,246	1.78	5,633	-	-	-
Total	**4,538,385**	**3.67**	**534,908**	**3,546,577**	**2.95**	**336,431**	**-991,808**	**6.22**	**-198,477**	**1,047,591**	**4.41**	**148,656**

3. South Kalgoorlie Gold Operations

3.1 Mineral Resource Estimate by Orebody & Category

	METALS X SOUTH KALGOORLIE GOLD PROJECT Mineral Resource Statement 30/06/2015											
Ore Body	**Measured**			**Indicated**			**Inferred**			**Total**		
	Tonnes	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*
Location 50												
HBJ	251,400	3.59	29,017	3,614,567	3.22	373,817	2,905,741	2.79	260,340	6,771,708	3.05	663,174
Pernatty	-	-	-	672,000	2.69	58,118	2,113,000	2.30	156,249	2,785,000	2.39	214,368
Celebration	-	-	-	356,000	3.14	35,939	144,000	2.30	10,648	500,000	2.90	46,588
Lanarkshire Basalt	-	-	-	108,000	3.40	11,806	21,000	2.70	1,823	129,000	3.29	13,629
Lanarkshire Porphyry	-	-	-	1,621,000	1.10	57,328	1,442,000	1.00	46,361	3,063,000	1.05	103,689
Mutooroo	-	-	-	67,120	2.30	4,963	181,127	1.82	10,599	248,247	1.95	15,562
Pleaides	14,604	2.43	1,139	-	-	-	14,843	2.74	1,309	29,447	2.59	2,449
Nidaros	26,856	2.07	1,786	27,334	2.04	1,793	18,056	2.18	1,266	72,246	2.09	4,844
TNT (Pernatty North)	-	-	-	343,000	1.71	18,857	216,000	1.80	12,500	559,000	1.74	31,358
Peaceful Chief	-	-	-	96,751	1.66	5,169	68,003	2.77	6,064	164,754	2.12	11,233
Location 48												
Mt Goddard + North	-	-	-	496,724	1.37	21,879	159,614	1.33	6,825	656,338	1.36	28,704
Dawns Hope	-	-	-	944,000	2.20	66,771	737,000	1.80	42,651	1,681,000	2.02	109,422
Daybreak - Dusk	42,877	1.70	2,349	49,829	1.19	1,914	101,359	1.38	4,486	194,065	1.40	8,750
Inclined Shaft / Lancashire Lass	-	-	-	503,360	2.29	37,011	410,043	2.19	28,858	913,403	2.24	65,869
White Hope / Hansel Mundy	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,178,709	2.25	85,267	1,178,709	2.25	85,267
SBS / Loc 59												
Shirl	-	-	-	-	-	-	46,755	5.23	7,854	46,755	5.23	7,854
Barbara	-	-	-	111,000	2.80	9,992	117,000	2.50	9,404	228,000	2.65	19,397
Surprise	-	-	-	1,002,000	2.34	75,383	860,000	2.33	64,424	1,862,000	2.34	139,807
28 Pit	-	-	-	321,000	2.70	27,865	302,000	1.90	18,448	623,000	2.31	46,313
Tuscany	-	-	-	103,000	2.10	6,954	18,000	1.60	926	121,000	2.03	7,880
Bakers Flat	-	-	-	212,760	2.33	15,938	268,920	2.45	21,183	481,680	2.40	37,121
Tripod	-	-	-	-	-	-	116,000	1.60	5,967	116,000	1.60	5,967
Noble 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	109,250	3.71	13,042	109,250	3.71	13,042
Rose Hill	-	-	-	982,503	2.11	66,651	1,149,494	2.14	79,088	2,131,997	2.13	145,739
Mount Martin / Loc 45												
Mount Martin	-	-	-	5,132,000	1.83	301,945	3,360,000	1.73	186,886	8,492,000	1.79	488,831
Swift	-	-	-	177,000	1.50	8,536	36,000	1.30	1,505	213,000	1.47	10,041
Adelaide	-	-	-	2,000	8.82	567	15,000	3.60	1,736	17,000	4.21	2,303
Mount Marion												
Mount Marion	252,000	4.90	39,700	1,501,000	3.60	173,730	2,433,000	2.90	226,846	4,186,000	3.27	440,275
Marion West	-	-	-	1,090,000	3.66	128,262	356,000	4.00	45,783	1,446,000	3.74	174,045
Loc 41												
Trojan	-	-	-	1,679,908	1.72	93,117	1,114,431	1.44	51,696	2,794,339	1.61	144,814
Penfolds												
Erebus	50,185	2.21	3,570	138,670	2.23	9,957	24,522	1.89	1,490	213,377	2.19	15,017
Penfolds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freddo	-	-	-	313,203	1.91	19,233	18,617	1.93	1,155	331,820	1.91	20,388
Jezebel												
Greater Jezebel Area	-	-	-	558,593	2.14	38,379	-	-	-	558,593	2.14	38,379
Scrubby Tank	20,000	1.80	1,157	194,000	1.60	9,980	351,000	1.30	14,670	565,000	1.42	25,807
Kundana												
Mungari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge												
Golden Ridge	-	-	-	474,564	1.83	27,921	50,867	1.71	2,797	525,431	1.82	30,718
Cannon												
Cannon	45,040	2.97	4,301	259,678	4.39	36,651	39,213	2.92	3,681	343,931	4.04	44,633
George's Reward				196,198	3.11	19,618	46,155	2.41	3,576	242,353	2.98	23,194
Satellite Stockpiles												
Frogs Leg #	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit SKO_Fresh_HG	2,287	3.11	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,287	3.11	229
Barbara - Surprise Heap Leach						-	73,690	0.47	1,105	73,690	0.47	1,105
Shirl MW				134,858	0.42	1,821				134,858	0.42	1,821
Tuscany	2,543	1.74	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,543	1.74	142
TNT				7,970	0.76	195	-	-	-	7,970	0.76	195
HBJ MW				63,788	0.49	1,005	-	-	-	63,788	0.49	1,005
Frogs Leg LG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge LG			-	219,190	0.84	5,920	-	-	-	219,190	0.84	5,920
Golden Ridge MW						-	221,512	0.57	4,059	221,512	0.57	4,059
Bellevue	10,000	0.70	225	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,000	0.70	225
Pernatty LG OXIDE	127,696	0.41	1,663	-	-	-	-	-	-	127,696	0.41	1,663
Pernatty LG1 FRESH***	-	-	-	60,000	0.60	1,157	-	-	-	60,000	0.60	1,157
Pernatty LG2	3,000	0.41	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,000	0.41	40
Inclined Shaft			-	18,182	0.84	491	-	-	-	18,182	0.84	491
Daisy				2,962	2.00	190	-	-	-	2,962	2.00	190
Lanarkshire	9,500	0.70	215	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,500	0.70	215
Samphire			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erebus	10,500	0.79	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,500	0.79	266
Nidaros	10,051	1.62	523	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,051	1.62	523
Jubilee ROM Stocks												
Louis SHG	5,942	2.80	535	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,942	2.80	535
Louis HG	11,328	2.16	786	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,328	2.16	786
Louis LG	14,024	1.21	546	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,024	1.21	546
Josephine HG	3,591	1.73	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,591	1.73	200
Josephine LG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiefs Lode LG	6,808	0.94	205	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,808	0.94	205
Peaceful Gift LG	3,831	1.02	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,831	1.02	125
HBJ Green	30,756	0.68	672	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,756	0.68	672
Pernatty Oxide	1,264	0.41	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,264	0.41	17
GIC	6,086	3.01	588	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,086	3.01	588
Totals	**962,168**	**2.91**	**89,996**	**23,855,712**	**2.32**	**1,776,825**	**20,837,921**	**2.15**	**1,442,569**	**45,655,801**	**2.25**	**3,309,390**

3.2 Ore Reserve Estimate by Orebody and Category

	METALS X SOUTH KALGOORLIE GOLD PROJECT Ore Reserve Statement 30/06/2015								
Ore Body	**Proven**			**Probable**			**Total**		
	Tonnes	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*
Location 50									
HBJ	4,183	3.10	417	927,058	3.28	97,758	931,241	3.28	98,175
Pernatty	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Celebration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Basalt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Porphyry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mutooroo	7,650	3.69	908	18,500	1.85	1,100	26,150	2.39	2,008
Pleaides	12,600	2.53	1,025	-	-	-	12,600	2.53	1,025
Nidaros	16,500	2.28	1,210	-	-	-	16,500	2.28	1,210
TNT (Pernatty North)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peaceful Chief	-	-	-	27,800	1.77	1,582	27,800	1.77	1,582
			-			-	-	-	-
Location 48			-			-	-	-	-
			-			-	-	-	-
Mt Goddard + North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dawns Hope	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daybreak - Dusk	42,900	1.70	2,345	2,750	0.92	81	45,650	1.65	2,426
Inclined Shaft / Lancashire Lass	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Hope / Hansel Mundy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-			-	-	-	-
SBS / Loc 59			-			-	-	-	-
			-			-	-	-	-
Shirl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuscany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bakers Flat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tripod	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Noble 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rose Hill	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Martin / Loc 45									
Mount Martin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Swift	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Adelaide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Marion									
Mount Marion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marion West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loc 41									
Trojan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Penfolds									
Erebus	47,160	2.23	3,381	59,330	2.44	4,654	106,490	2.35	8,035
Penfolds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freddo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jezebel									
Greater Jezebel Area	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scrubby Tank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kundana									
Mungari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge									
Golden Ridge	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cannon									
Cannon	48,319	2.87	4,453	180,917	4.15	24,139	229,237	3.88	28,592
George's Reward			-	195,356	2.65	16,644	195,356	2.65	16,644
Satellite Stockpiles									
Frogs Leg #	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit SKO_Fresh_HG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barbara - Surprise Heap Leach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shirl MW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuscany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HBJ MW	63,788	0.49	1,005			-	63,788	0.49	1,005
Frogs Leg LG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge LG	219,190	0.84	5,920	-	-	-	219,190	0.84	5,920
Golden Ridge MW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellevue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pernatty LG OXIDE	127,696	0.41	1,663	-	-	-	127,696	0.41	1,663
Pernatty LG1 FRESH***	-	-	-	60,000	0.60	1,157	60,000	0.60	1,157
Pernatty LG2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inclined Shaft	-	-	-	18,182	0.84	491	18,182	0.84	491
Daisy	-	-	-	2,962	2.00	190	2,962	2.00	190
Lanarkshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Samphire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erebus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nidaros	10,051	1.62	523	-	-	-	10,051	1.62	523
Jubilee ROM Stocks									
Louis SHG	5,942	2.80	535	-	-	-	5,942	2.80	535
Louis HG	11,328	2.16	786	-	-	-	11,328	2.16	786
Louis LG	14,024	1.21	546	-	-	-	14,024	1.21	546
Josephine HG	3,591	1.73	200	-	-	-	3,591	1.73	200
Josephine LG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiefs Lode LG	6,808	0.94	205	-	-	-	6,808	0.94	205
Peaceful Gift LG	3,831	1.02	125	-	-	-	3,831	1.02	125
HBJ Green	30,756	0.68	672	-	-	-	30,756	0.68	672
Pernatty Oxide	1,264	0.41	17	-	-	-	1,264	0.41	17
			-			-	-	-	-
GIC	6,086	3.01	588	-	-	-	6,086	3.01	588
Totals	**683,666**	**1.21**	**26,523**	**1,492,856**	**3.08**	**147,799**	**2,176,522**	**2.49**	**174,322**

3.3 Annual Mineral Resource Inventory Changes

RESOURCE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESOURCE			2015 JUNE RESOURCE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Location 50												
HBJ	14,571,364	1.57	733,194	6,771,708	3.05	663,174	-7,799,656	-	-70,019	-	-	-
Pernatty	2,785,000	2.39	214,368	2,785,000	2.39	214,368	-	-	-	-	-	-
Celebration	500,000	2.90	46,588	500,000	2.90	46,588	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Basalt	129,000	3.29	13,629	129,000	3.29	13,629	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Porphyry	3,063,000	1.05	103,689	3,063,000	1.05	103,689	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mutooroo				248,247	1.95	15,562	248,247	1.95	15,562	-	-	-
Pleaides				29,447	2.59	2,449	29,447	2.59	2,449	-	-	-
Nidaros				72,246	2.09	4,844	72,246	2.09	4,844	10,061	1.82	589
TNT (Pernatty North)	559,000	1.74	31,358	559,000	1.74	31,358	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peaceful Chief	417,000	1.84	24,647	164,754	2.12	11,233	-252,246	-	-13,413	44,009	0.91	1,283
Location 48												
Mt Goddard + North	657,000	1.35	28,578	656,338	1.36	28,704	-662	-5.90	126	-	-	-
Dawns Hope	1,681,000	2.02	109,422	1,681,000	2.02	109,422	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daybreak - Dusk				194,065	1.40	8,750	194,065	1.40	8,750	-	-	-
Inclined Shaft / Lancashire Lass	913,403	2.24	65,869	913,403	2.24	65,869	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Hope / Hansel Mundy	1,179,000	2.30	87,183	1,178,709	2.25	85,267	-291	-	-1,916	-	-	-
SBS / Loc 59				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shirl	917,000	3.02	89,020	46,755	5.23	7,854	-870,245	-	-81,166	-	-	-
Barbara	228,000	2.65	19,397	228,000	2.65	19,397	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise	1,862,000	2.34	139,807	1,862,000	2.34	139,807	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit	623,000	2.31	46,313	623,000	2.31	46,313	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuscany	121,000	2.03	7,880	121,000	2.03	7,880	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bakers Flat	480,000	2.41	37,211	481,680	2.40	37,121	1,680	-	-91	-	-	-
Tripod	116,000	1.60	5,967	116,000	1.60	5,967	-	-	-	-	-	-
Noble 6	109,000	3.70	12,966	109,250	3.71	13,042	250	9.38	75	-	-	-
Rose Hill	470,737	2.93	44,280	2,131,997	2.13	145,739	1,661,260	1.90	101,460	-	-	-
Mount Martin / Loc 45												
Mount Martin	8,492,000	1.79	488,831	8,492,000	1.79	488,831	-	-	-	-	-	-
Swift	213,000	1.47	10,041	213,000	1.47	10,041	-	-	-	-	-	-
Adelaide	17,000	4.21	2,303	17,000	4.21	2,303	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Marion												
Mount Marion	4,186,000	3.27	440,275	4,186,000	3.27	440,275	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marion West	1,446,000	3.74	174,045	1,446,000	3.74	174,045	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loc 41				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trojan	1,453,000	2.07	96,724	2,794,339	1.61	144,814	1,341,339	1.12	48,090	-	-	-
Penfolds				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erebus				213,377	2.19	15,017	213,377	2.19	15,017	-	-	-
Penfolds				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freddo	331,820	1.91	20,388	331,820	1.91	20,388	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jezebel				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Greater Jezebel Area	559,000	2.10	37,742	558,593	2.14	38,379	-407	-48.68	637	-	-	-
Scrubby Tank	565,000	1.42	25,807	565,000	1.42	25,807	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kundana				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mungari				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge	531,478	1.81	30,916	525,431	1.82	30,718	-6,047	-	-198	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Cannon				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Cannon				343,931	4.04	44,633	343,931	4.04	44,633	-	-	-
George's Reward				242,353	2.98	23,194	242,353	2.98	23,194	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Satellite Stockpiles				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Frogs Leg #	10,254	1.88	619	-	-	-	-10,254	-	-619	-	-	-
28 Pit SKO_Fresh_HG	2,287	3.11	229	2,287	3.11	229	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barbara - Surprise Heap Leach				73,690	0.47	1,105	73,690	0.47	1,105	-	-	-
Shirl MW				134,858	0.42	1,821	134,858	0.42	1,821	-	-	-
Tuscany	2,543	1.74	142	2,543	1.74	142	-	-	-	-	-	-
TNT				7,970	0.76	195	7,970	0.76	195	312	1.36	14
HBJ MW	63,788	0.49	1,005	63,788	0.49	1,005	-	-	-			
Frogs Leg LG	5,644	1.20	218	-	-	-	-5,644	-	-218	5,965	1.00	192
Golden Ridge LG	219,190	0.84	5,920	219,190	0.84	5,920	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge MW	221,512	0.57	4,059	221,512	0.57	4,059	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellevue	10,000	0.70	225	10,000	0.70	225	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pernatty LG OXIDE	201,963	0.41	2,661	127,696	0.41	1,663	-74,267	-	-999	58,842	0.40	761
Pernatty LG1 FRESH***	60,000	0.60	1,157	60,000	0.60	1,157	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pernatty LG2	20,173	0.41	266	3,000	0.41	40	-17,173	-	-226	17,173	0.40	222
Inclined Shaft	14,890	0.93	445	18,182	0.84	491	3,292	0.43	46	-	-	-
Daisy				2,962	2.00	190	2,962	2.00	190	-	-	-
Lanarkshire	9,500	0.70	215	9,500	0.70	215	-	-	-	-	-	-
Samphire	5,000	0.74	119	-	-	-	-5,000	-	-119	7,407	1.05	251
Erebus	10,500	0.79	266	10,500	0.79	266	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nidaros			-	10,051	1.62	523	10,051	1.62	523	-	-	-
Louis SHG			-	5,942	2.80	535	5,942	2.80	535	-	-	-
Louis HG			-	11,328	2.16	786	11,328	2.16	786	-	-	-
Louis LG			-	14,024	1.21	546	14,024	1.21	546	-	-	-
Josephine HG			-	3,591	1.73	200	3,591	1.73	200	-	-	-
Josephine LG			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiefs Lode LG			-	6,808	0.94	205	6,808	0.94	205	-	-	-
Peaceful Gift LG			-	3,831	1.02	125	3,831	1.02	125	-	-	-
HBJ Green	606,719	0.68	13,264	30,756	0.68	672	-575,963	-	-12,592	613,668	0.78	15,299
Pernatty Oxide	1,474	1.58	75	1,264	0.41	17	-211	-	-58	-	-	-
				-	-	-						
GIC	6,669	14.27	3,060	6,086	3.01	588	-583	-	-2,472	-	-	-
Total	**50,647,909**	**1.98**	**3,222,383**	**45,655,801**	**2.25**	**3,309,390**	**-4,992,108**	**-0.54**	**87,007**	**757,437**	**0.76**	**18,610**

3.4 Annual Ore Reserve Inventory Changes

RESERVE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESERVE			2015 JUNE RESERVE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Location 50												
HBJ	-	-	-	931,241	3.28	98,175	931,241	3.28	98,175	-	-	-
Pernatty	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Celebration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Basalt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lanarkshire Porphyry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mutooroo	-	-	-	26,150	2.39	2,008	26,150	2.39	2,008	-	-	-
Pleaides	-	-	-	12,600	2.53	1,025	12,600	2.53	1,025	-	-	-
Nidaros				16,500	2.28	1,210	16,500	2.28	1,210	10,061	1.82	589
TNT (Pernatty North)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peaceful Chief				27,800	1.77	1,582	27,800	1.77	1,582	44,009	0.91	1,283
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location 48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mt Goddard + North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dawns Hope				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daybreak - Dusk				45,650	1.65	2,426	45,650	1.65	2,426	-	-	-
Inclined Shaft / Lancashire Lass				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White Hope / Hansel Mundy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SBS / Loc 59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shirl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuscany				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bakers Flat				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tripod				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Noble 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rose Hill	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Martin / Loc 45				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Martin				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Swift	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Adelaide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Marion				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Marion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marion West				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loc 41				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trojan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Penfolds				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erebus				106,490	2.35	8,035	106,490	2.35	8,035	-	-	-
Penfolds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freddo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jezebel				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Greater Jezebel Area	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scrubby Tank				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kundana				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mungari				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cannon				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cannon				229,237	3.88	28,592	229,237	3.88	28,592	-	-	-
George's Reward				195,356	2.65	16,644	195,356	2.65	16,644	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Satellite Stockpiles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frogs Leg #	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28 Pit SKO_Fresh_HG	17,099	1.50	825	-	-	-	- 17,099	-	- 825	-	-	-
Barbara - Surprise Heap Leach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shirl MW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuscany	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	312	1.36	14
HBJ MW	-	-	-	63,788	0.49	1,005	63,788	0.49	1,005	-	-	-
Frogs Leg LG	56,496	1.20	2,180	-	-	-	- 56,496	-	- 2,180	5,965	1.00	192
Golden Ridge LG	219,190	0.84	5,920	219,190	0.84	5,920	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Ridge MW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellevue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pernatty LG OXIDE	-	-	-	127,696	0.41	1,663	127,696	0.41	1,663	58,842	0.40	761
Pernatty LG1 FRESH***	60,000	0.60	1,157	60,000	0.60	1,157	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pernatty LG2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,173	0.40	222
Inclined Shaft	-	-	-	18,182	0.84	491	18,182	0.84	491	-	-	-
Daisy	-	-	-	2,962	2.00	190	2,962	2.00	190	-	-	-
Lanarkshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Samphire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,407	1.05	251
Erebus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nidaros	-	-	-	10,051	1.62	523	10,051	1.62	523	-	-	-
Louis SHG	-	-	-	5,942	2.80	535	5,942	2.80	535	-	-	-
Louis HG				11,328	2.16	786	11,328	2.16	786	-	-	-
Louis LG				14,024	1.21	546	14,024	1.21	546	-	-	-
Josephine HG				3,591	1.73	200	3,591	1.73	200	-	-	-
Josephine LG				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiefs Lode LG	-	-	-	6,808	0.94	205	6,808	0.94	205	-	-	-
Peaceful Gift LG	-	-	-	3,831	1.02	125	3,831	1.02	125	-	-	-
HBJ Green	606,719	0.68	13,264	30,756	0.68	672	- 575,963	-	- 12,592	613,668	0.78	15,299
Pernatty Oxide	-	-	-	1,264	0.41	17	1,264	0.41	17	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GIC	6,801	11.18	2,444	6,086	3.01	588	- 715	-	- 1,856	-	-	-
Total	**966,305**	**0.83**	**25,790**	**2,176,522**	**2.49**	**174,322**	**1,210,217**	**3.82**	**148,532**	**757,437**	**0.76**	**18,610**

4. Central Murchison Gold Project

4.1 Mineral Resource Estimate by Orebody & Category

	METALS X CENTRAL MURCHISON GOLD PROJECT Mineral Resource Statement 30/06/2015											
Ore Body	**Measured**			**Indicated**			**Inferred**			**Total**		
	Tonnes	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*	*Tonnes*	*Gold Grade*	*Ounces*
Big Bell												
1600N / Shocker	-	-	-	3,440,988	1.67	184,892	1,236,672	1.61	63,824	4,677,660	1.65	248,716
1600N / Shocker Underground	-	-	-	64,238	1.71	3,528	1,189,207	2.79	106,672	1,253,445	2.73	110,200
700 / 1100	-	-	-	780,032	1.49	37,422	419,344	1.17	15,783	1,199,376	1.38	53,205
Big Bell	-	-	-	20,090,743	2.82	1,820,095	8,636,707	2.69	747,755	28,727,450	2.78	2,567,849
Big Bell South	-	-	-	2,824,082	1.62	147,195	1,722,851	1.65	91,317	4,546,933	1.63	238,513
Big Bell South Underground	-	-	-	65,871	2.86	6,048	1,452,891	2.37	110,893	1,518,762	2.39	116,942
Fender	-	-	-	1,006,144	2.42	78,407	25,285	2.01	1,631	1,031,429	2.41	80,037
Fender Underground	-	-	-	271,348	2.82	24,602	178,320	2.92	16,724	449,668	2.86	41,325
Indicator	-	-	-	201,861	1.69	10,968	43,980	0.84	1,188	245,841	1.54	12,156
Cuddingwarra												
Black Swan	-	-	-	260,087	2.31	19,350	5,154	1.65	273	265,241	2.30	19,623
Black Swan South	-	-	-	315,029	3.77	38,184	1,856,848	3.82	228,050	2,171,877	3.81	266,234
Chieftain	-	-	-	181,475	1.40	8,168	-	-	-	181,475	1.40	8,168
City of Chester	-	-	-	415,508	1.98	26,451	81,289	1.76	4,600	496,797	1.94	31,050
City of Chester Northwest	-	-	-	196,954	1.65	10,448	13,370	1.18	507	210,324	1.62	10,955
Coventry North	-	-	-	-	-	-	204,396	1.34	8,806	204,396	1.34	8,806
Emily Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	346,840	1.41	15,723	346,840	1.41	15,723
Golden Gate Group	-	-	-	712,801	1.51	34,605	31,359	1.14	1,149	744,160	1.49	35,754
Jim's Find	-	-	-	262,808	1.69	14,280	37,459	1.52	1,831	300,267	1.67	16,110
Lady Rosie	-	-	-	267,916	2.10	18,089	14,689	1.13	534	282,605	2.05	18,622
Never Can Tell	-	-	-	22,772	2.70	1,977	50,290	2.24	3,622	73,062	2.38	5,599
Rheingold Group	-	-	-	260,937	3.33	27,936	1,184,970	1.86	70,862	1,445,907	2.13	98,798
South Victory	-	-	-	-	-	-	266,117	2.40	20,534	266,117	2.40	20,534
Day Dawn												
3210	-	-	-	196,704	1.63	10,308	9,242	2.78	826	205,946	1.68	11,134
Brega Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	512,865	1.53	25,228	512,865	1.53	25,228
Crème d' Or Group	-	-	-	82,973	1.61	4,295	60,248	0.94	1,821	143,221	1.33	6,116
Emperor	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,847	2.78	4,366	48,847	2.78	4,366
Golden Crown	-	-	-	551,000	9.55	169,179	91,000	5.40	15,799	642,000	8.96	184,978
Great Fingall Open Pit	-	-	-	1,361,600	1.76	77,047	84,800	2.06	5,616	1,446,400	1.78	82,663
Great Fingall Deeps	-	-	-	787,702	8.84	223,842	-	-	-	787,702	8.84	223,842
Great Fingall Remnants	-	-	-	517,196	10.34	171,929	-	-	-	517,196	10.34	171,929
Kinsella - Kalahari	110,486	1.39	4,941	218,464	1.00	6,989	856,837	1.18	32,396	1,185,787	1.16	44,326
Mount Fingall	-	-	-	89,327	1.84	5,284	188,280	1.23	7,446	277,607	1.43	12,730
Racecourse	-	-	-	78,851	2.03	5,146	-	-	-	78,851	2.03	5,146
Rubicon	-	-	-	142,665	2.21	10,137	-	-	-	142,665	2.21	10,137
South Fingall	65,825	1.81	3,825	82,622	1.92	5,090	129,909	2.28	9,535	278,356	2.06	18,449
Try Again Group	-	-	-	709,968	1.81	41,315	157,336	2.08	10,522	867,304	1.86	51,837
Trenton	-	-	-	-	-	-	97,043	1.32	4,118	97,043	1.32	4,118
Yellow Taxi Group	-	-	-	404,653	1.88	24,459	112,886	1.82	6,605	517,539	1.87	31,064
Meekatharra North												
Five Mile Well	-	-	-	415,000	2.36	31,488	165,000	1.61	8,541	580,000	2.15	40,029
Maid Marion	-	-	-	749,200	1.42	34,204	19,600	1.42	895	768,800	1.42	35,099
Paddy's Flat												
Fenian - Marmont	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,223,000	1.06	75,759	2,223,000	1.06	75,759
Magazine	-	-	-	2,135,000	1.54	105,409	1,779,000	1.56	89,151	3,914,000	1.55	194,560
Mickey Doolan	-	-	-	12,040,000	1.01	391,353	6,883,000	0.95	210,007	18,923,000	0.99	601,360
Paddy's North	-	-	-	6,108,000	1.22	238,676	278,000	1.23	10,953	6,386,000	1.22	249,628
Prohibition	-	-	-	3,949,000	2.72	345,500	1,457,000	2.33	109,300	5,406,000	2.62	454,800
Vivian-Consol-Mudlode-Fatts	-	-	-	1,324,713	5.30	225,698	1,134,684	5.63	205,483	2,459,397	5.45	431,180
Reedy's												
Callisto	1,112	2.21	79	222,785	2.21	15,828	97,981	1.51	4,752	321,878	2.00	20,659
Jack Ryan	-	-	-	1,149,795	2.06	76,277	35,519	1.29	1,470	1,185,314	2.04	77,746
Rand	-	-	-	1,170,149	1.73	64,934	3,179,457	2.34	239,575	4,349,606	2.18	304,509
RL9	-	-	-	80,000	1.74	4,475	82,000	1.42	3,744	162,000	1.58	8,219
South Emu	-	-	-	441,000	5.13	72,736	144,000	4.64	21,482	585,000	5.01	94,217
Turn of the Tide	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,458,000	1.63	76,595	1,458,000	1.63	76,595
Yaloginda												
Batavia	105,660	2.51	8,532	133,956	2.47	10,658	43,361	2.24	3,123	282,977	2.45	22,314
Bluebird	-	-	-	3,570,035	1.91	218,727	1,378,129	2.16	95,667	4,948,164	1.98	314,394
Euro	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,037,000	1.30	85,138	2,037,000	1.30	85,138
Gibraltar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GNH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jess	-	-	-	77,000	1.70	4,209	217,000	1.50	10,465	294,000	1.55	14,674
Rhens	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,589,940	1.27	187,620	4,589,940	1.27	187,620
South Junction	-	-	-	1,042,110	1.13	37,860	1,295,509	1.58	65,809	2,337,619	1.38	103,670
Surprise West	-	-	-	27,000	2.20	1,910	4,000	2.60	334	31,000	2.25	2,244
Whangamata	112,744	1.69	6,113	449,092	1.33	19,173	75,237	1.31	3,162	637,073	1.39	28,448
Stockpiles												
Big Bell Stockpiles	-	-	-	132,751	0.79	3,369	-	-	-	132,751	0.79	3,369
Big Bell Tails	-	-	-	3,394,000	0.70	76,384	-	-	-	3,394,000	0.70	76,384
Cuddingwarra Stockpiles	-	-	-	80,149	0.89	2,303	-	-	-	80,149	0.89	2,303
Day Dawn Stockpiles	-	-	-	432,774	0.59	8,266	-	-	-	432,774	0.59	8,266
Fingall Sands	-	-	-	317,902	0.79	8,074	-	-	-	317,902	0.79	8,074
Totals	**395,827**	**1.85**	**23,490**	**76,306,730**	**2.15**	**5,265,171**	**49,923,748**	**1.96**	**3,145,581**	**126,626,305**	**2.07**	**8,434,242**

4.2 Ore Reserve Estimate by Orebody & Category

	METALS X CENTRAL MURCHISON GOLD PROJECT Ore Reserve Statement 30/06/2015								
Ore Body	Proven			Probable			Total		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Big Bell									
1600N / Shocker	-	-	-	709,732	2.09	47,629	709,732	2.09	47,629
1600N / Shocker Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
700 / 1100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell	-	-	-	8,010,097	2.65	682,456	8,010,097	2.65	682,456
Big Bell South	-	-	-	982,367	1.97	62,359	982,367	1.97	62,359
Big Bell South Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fender	-	-	-	123,988	2.36	9,395	123,988	2.36	9,395
Fender Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddingwarra									
Black Swan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black Swan South	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chieftain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester Northwest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coventry North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emily Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Gate Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jim's Find	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lady Rosie	-	-	-	57,436	2.25	4,153	57,436	2.25	4,153
Never Can Tell	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rheingold Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Victory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day Dawn									
3210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brega Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crème d' Or Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emperor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Crown	-	-	-	556,634	6.73	120,441	556,634	6.73	120,441
Great Fingall Open Pit	-	-	-	749,910	1.74	42,026	749,910	1.74	42,026
Great Fingall Deeps	-	-	-	434,601	7.77	108,568	434,601	7.77	108,568
Great Fingall Remnants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kinsella - Kalahari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Fingall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Racecourse	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rubicon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Fingall	-	-	-	55,695	1.74	3,124	55,695	1.74	3,124
Try Again Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trenton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yellow Taxi Group	-	-	-	150,514	2.69	12,995	150,514	2.69	12,995
Meekatharra North									
Five Mile Well	-	-	-	310,165	2.38	23,720	310,165	2.38	23,720
Maid Marion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paddy's Flat									
Fenian - Marmont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Magazine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mickey Doolan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paddy's North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prohibition	-	-	-	1,696,434	3.33	181,548	1,696,434	3.33	181,548
Vivian-Consol-Mudlode-Fatts				1,625,650	3.93	205,372	1,625,650	3.93	205,372
Reedy's									
Callisto	-	-	-	98,643	2.46	7,805	98,643	2.46	7,805
Jack Ryan	-	-	-	352,242	2.47	27,972	352,242	2.47	27,972
Rand	-	-	-	82,150	1.45	3,823	82,150	1.45	3,823
RL9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Emu	-	-	-	293,489	4.25	40,107	293,489	4.25	40,107
Turn of the Tide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yaloginda									
Batavia	-	-	-	132,432	2.43	10,363	132,432	2.43	10,363
Bluebird	-	-	-	197,898	2.08	13,240	197,898	2.08	13,240
Euro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gibraltar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GNH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jess	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Junction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whangamata	-	-	-	165,263	1.64	8,701	165,263	1.64	8,701
Stockpiles									
Big Bell Stockpiles	-	-	-	116,381.00	0.83	3,106	116,381	0.83	3,106
Big Bell Tails	-	-	-	3,394,000	0.70	76,384	3,394,000	0.70	76,384
Cuddingwarra Stockpiles	-	-	-	51,317	0.75	1,230	51,317	0.75	1,230
Day Dawn Stockpiles	-	-	-	119,000	1.00	3,826	119,000	1.00	3,826
Fingall Sands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals	**-**	**-**	**-**	**20,466,038**	**2.58**	**1,700,342**	**20,466,038**	**2.58**	**1,700,342**

4.3 Annual Mineral Resource Inventory Changes

RESOURCE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESOURCE			2015 JUNE RESOURCE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Big Bell												
1600N / Shocker	4,677,660	1.65	248,716	4,677,660	1.65	248,716	-	-	-	-	-	-
1600N / Shocker Underground	1,253,445	2.73	110,200	1,253,445	2.73	110,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
700 / 1100	1,199,376	1.38	53,205	1,199,376	1.38	53,205	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell	28,727,450	2.78	2,567,849	28,727,450	2.78	2,567,849	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell South	4,546,933	1.63	238,513	4,546,933	1.63	238,513	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell South Underground	1,518,762	2.39	116,942	1,518,762	2.39	116,942	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fender	1,031,429	2.41	80,037	1,031,429	2.41	80,037	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fender Underground	449,668	2.86	41,325	449,668	2.86	41,325	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator	245,841	1.54	12,156	245,841	1.54	12,156	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddingwarra												
Black Swan	265,241	2.30	19,623	265,241	2.30	19,623	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black Swan South	2,171,877	3.81	266,234	2,171,877	3.81	266,234	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chieftain	181,475	1.40	8,168	181,475	1.40	8,168	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester	496,797	1.94	31,050	496,797	1.94	31,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester Northwest	210,324	1.62	10,955	210,324	1.62	10,955	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coventry North	204,396	1.34	8,806	204,396	1.34	8,806	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emily Well	346,840	1.41	15,723	346,840	1.41	15,723	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Gate Group	744,160	1.49	35,754	744,160	1.49	35,754	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jim's Find	300,267	1.67	16,110	300,267	1.67	16,110	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lady Rosie	282,605	2.05	18,622	282,605	2.05	18,622	-	-	-	-	-	-
Never Can Tell	73,062	2.38	5,599	73,062	2.38	5,599	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rheingold Group	1,445,907	2.13	98,798	1,445,907	2.13	98,798	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Victory	266,747	2.40	20,585	266,117	2.40	20,534	- 630	-	- 51	-	-	-
Day Dawn												
3210	205,946	1.68	11,134	205,946	1.68	11,134	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brega Well	512,865	1.53	25,228	512,865	1.53	25,228	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crème d' Or Group	143,221	1.33	6,116	143,221	1.33	6,116	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emperor	48,847	2.78	4,366	48,847	2.78	4,366	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Crown	642,000	8.96	184,978	642,000	8.96	184,978	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Open Pit	1,446,400	1.78	82,663	1,446,400	1.78	82,663	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Deeps	787,702	8.84	223,842	787,702	8.84	223,842	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Remnants	517,196	10.34	171,929	517,196	10.34	171,929	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kinsella - Kalahari	1,185,787	1.16	44,326	1,185,787	1.16	44,326	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Fingall	277,607	1.43	12,730	277,607	1.43	12,730	-	-	-	-	-	-
Racecourse	216,354	1.60	11,129	78,851	2.03	5,146	- 137,503	-	- 5,983	-	-	-
Rubicon	142,665	2.21	10,137	142,665	2.21	10,137	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Fingall	335,111	1.95	21,029	278,356	2.06	18,449	- 56,755	-	- 2,580	-	-	-
Try Again Group	867,304	1.86	51,837	867,304	1.86	51,837	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trenton	97,043	1.32	4,118	97,043	1.32	4,118	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yellow Taxi Group	517,539	1.87	31,064	517,539	1.87	31,064	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Meekatharra North				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Five Mile Well				580,000	2.15	40,029	580,000	2.15	40,029			
Maid Marion				768,800	1.42	35,099	768,800	1.42	35,099			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Paddy's Flat				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Fenian - Marmont				2,223,000	1.06	75,759	2,223,000	1.06	75,759			
Magazine				3,914,000	1.55	194,560	3,914,000	1.55	194,560			
Mickey Doolan				18,923,000	0.99	601,360	18,923,000	0.99	601,360			
Paddy's North				6,386,000	1.22	249,628	6,386,000	1.22	249,628			
Prohibition				5,406,000	2.62	454,800	5,406,000	2.62	454,800			
Vivian-Consol-Mudlode-Fatts				2,459,397	5.45	431,180	2,459,397	5.45	431,180			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Reedy's				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Callisto				321,878	2.00	20,659	321,878	2.00	20,659			
Jack Ryan				1,185,314	2.04	77,746	1,185,314	2.04	77,746			
Rand				4,349,606	2.18	304,509	4,349,606	2.18	304,509			
RL9				162,000	1.58	8,219	162,000	1.58	8,219			
South Emu				585,000	5.01	94,217	585,000	5.01	94,217			
Turn of the Tide				1,458,000	1.63	76,595	1,458,000	1.63	76,595			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Yaloginda				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Batavia				282,977	2.45	22,314	282,977	2.45	22,314			
Bluebird				4,948,164	1.98	314,394	4,948,164	1.98	314,394			
Euro				2,037,000	1.30	85,138	2,037,000	1.30	85,138			
Gibraltar				-	-	-	-	-	-			
GNH				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Jess				294,000	1.55	14,674	294,000	1.55	14,674			
Rhens				4,589,940	1.27	187,620	4,589,940	1.27	187,620			
South Junction				2,337,619	1.38	103,670	2,337,619	1.38	103,670			
Surprise West				31,000	2.25	2,244	31,000	2.25	2,244			
Whangamata				637,073	1.39	28,448	637,073	1.39	28,448			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Stockpiles				-	-	-	-	-	-			
				-	-	-	-	-	-			
Big Bell Stockpiles	132,751	0.79	3,369	132,751	0.79	3,369	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell Tails	3,394,000	0.70	76,384	3,394,000	0.70	76,384	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddingwarra Stockpiles	80,149	0.89	2,303	80,149	0.89	2,303	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day Dawn Stockpiles	432,774	0.59	8,266	432,774	0.59	8,266	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fingall Sands	317,902	0.79	8,074	317,902	0.79	8,074	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	**62,941,425**	**2.48**	**5,019,993**	**126,626,305**	**2.07**	**8,434,242**	**63,684,880**	**1.67**	**3,414,249**	**-**	**-**	**-**

4.4 Annual Ore Reserve Inventory Changes

RESERVE RECONCILIATION												
	2014 JUNE RESERVE			2015 JUNE RESERVE			DIFFERENCE			CREDITED MINED		
	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Gold Grade	Ounces
Big Bell												
1600N / Shocker	709,732.00	2.09	47,629	709,732	2.09	47,629	-	-	-	-	-	-
1600N / Shocker Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
700 / 1100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell	8,010,097	2.65	682,456	8,010,097	2.65	682,456	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell South	982,367.00	1.97	62,359	982,367	1.97	62,359	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell South Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fender	123,988.00	2.36	9,395	123,988	2.36	9,395	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fender Underground	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicator	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-						
Cuddingwarra				-	-	-						
				-	-	-						
Black Swan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black Swan South	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chieftain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Chester Northwest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coventry North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emily Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Gate Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jim's Find	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lady Rosie	-	-	-	57,436	2.25	4,153	57,436	2.25	4,153	-	-	-
Never Can Tell	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rheingold Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Victory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				-	-	-						
Day Dawn				-	-	-						
				-	-	-						
3210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brega Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crème d' Or Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emperor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Crown	556,634	6.73	120,441	556,634	6.73	120,441	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Open Pit	749,910.37	1.74	42,026	749,910	1.74	42,026	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Deeps	434,601	7.77	108,568	434,601	7.77	108,568	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Fingall Remnants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kinsella - Kalahari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Fingall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Racecourse	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rubicon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Fingall	59,647.00	1.70	3,260	55,695	1.74	3,124	- 3,952	-	- 136	-	-	-
Try Again Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trenton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yellow Taxi Group	150,514.00	2.69	12,995	150,514	2.69	12,995	-	-	-	-	-	-
Meekatharra North												
Five Mile Well	-	-	-	310,165	2.38	23,720	310,165	2.38	23,720	-	-	-
Maid Marion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paddy's Flat												
Fenian - Marmont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Magazine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mickey Doolan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paddy's North	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prohibition	-	-	-	1,696,434	3.33	181,548	1,696,434	3.33	181,548	-	-	-
Vivian-Consol-Mudlode-Fatts	-	-	-	1,625,650	3.93	205,372	1,625,650	3.93	205,372	-	-	-
Reedy's												
Callisto	-	-	-	98,643	2.46	7,805	98,643	2.46	7,805	-	-	-
Jack Ryan	-	-	-	352,242	2.47	27,972	352,242	2.47	27,972	-	-	-
Rand	-	-	-	82,150	1.45	3,823	82,150	1.45	3,823	-	-	-
RL9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Emu	-	-	-	293,489	4.25	40,107	293,489	4.25	40,107	-	-	-
Turn of the Tide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yaloginda												
Batavia	-	-	-	132,432	2.43	10,363	132,432	2.43	10,363	-	-	-
Bluebird	-	-	-	197,898	2.08	13,240	197,898	2.08	13,240	-	-	-
Euro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gibraltar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GNH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jess	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Junction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whangamata	-	-	-	165,263	1.64	8,701	165,263	1.64	8,701	-	-	-
Stockpiles												
Big Bell Stockpiles	116,381.00	0.83	3,106	116,381	0.83	3,106	-	-	-	-	-	-
Big Bell Tails	3,394,000.00	0.70	76,384	3,394,000	0.70	76,384	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddingwarra Stockpiles	51,317.00	0.75	1,230	51,317	0.75	1,230	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day Dawn Stockpiles	119,000.00	1.00	3,826	119,000	1.00	3,826	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fingall Sands				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	**15,458,188**	**2.36**	**1,173,674**	**20,466,038**	**2.58**	**1,700,342**	**5,007,849**	**3.27**	**526,669**	**-**	**-**	**-**

Metals X Limited - Gold Division
Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves

TIN DIVISION

(as at 30 June 2015)

1. Tin Division – Executive Summary

METALS X LIMITED
Tin Division
Mineral Resource Statement - Rounded for Reporting
30/06/2015

Project	*Tin*			*Copper*		
	kT	*Grade*	*kt Sn*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kt Cu*
MEASURED						
Renison Bell	1,225	1.94%	24	1,148	0.55%	6
Mount Bischoff	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	21,842	0.45%	98	21,842	0.22%	48
Sub-Total	**23,066**	**0.53%**	**122**	**22,990**	**0.24%**	**54**
INDICATED						
Renison Bell	8,276	1.43%	118	7,746	0.31%	24
Mount Bischoff	968	0.59%	6	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sub-Total	**9,243**	**1.34%**	**124**	**7,746**	**0.31%**	**24**
INFERRED						
Renison Bell	3,374	1.36%	46	3,117	0.29%	9
Mount Bischoff	699	0.47%	3	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sub-Total	**4,073**	**1.21%**	**49**	**3,117**	**0.29%**	**9**
TOTAL						
Renison Bell	12,874	1.46%	188	12,011	0.33%	39
Mount Bischoff	1,667	0.54%	9	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	21,842	0.45%	98	21,842	0.22%	48
Grand Total	**36,382**	**0.81%**	**294**	**33,853**	**0.26%**	**88**

METALS X LIMITED
Tin Division
Ore Reserve - Rounded for reporting
30/06/2015

Project	*Tin*			*Copper*		
	kT	*Grade*	*kt Sn*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kt Cu*
PROVEN						
Renison Bell	1,313	1.60%	21	1,313	0.43%	6
Mount Bischoff	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sub-Total	**1,313**	**1.60%**	**21**	**1,313**	**0.43%**	**6**
PROBABALE						
Renison Bell	5,360	1.22%	65	5,038	0.25%	12
Mount Bischoff	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	20,965	0.45%	94	20,965	0.22%	46
Sub-Total	**26,325**	**0.60%**	**159**	**26,003**	**0.23%**	**59**
TOTAL						
Renison Bell	6,673	1.29%	86	6,352	0.29%	18
Mount Bischoff	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Rentails	20,965	0.45%	94	20,965	0.22%	46
Grand Total	**27,638**	**0.65%**	**180**	**27,316**	**0.24%**	**64**

2. Mineral Resource Estimate – Renison Project by Lode and Category

	METALS X LIMITED BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA Resource Statement 30/06/2015																					
Ore Body	Measured						Indicated						Inferred						Total					
	Tin Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Copper Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tin Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Copper Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tin Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Copper Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tin Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Copper Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Clarke	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	38,844	1.26%	489	-	0.00%	-	14,218	1.28%	182	-	0.00%	-	53,062	1.27%	671	-	0.00%	-
Dalcoath	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	39,110	0.98%	384	-	0.00%	-	8,500	0.76%	64	-	0.00%	-	47,610	0.94%	448	-	0.00%	-
Howard	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	34,354	1.71%	587	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	34,354	0.08%	27	34,354	1.71%	587	34,354	0.08%	27
Melba	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	21,256	1.41%	300	-	0.00%	-	4,078	1.62%	66	25,334	0.08%	20	25,334	1.44%	366	25,334	0.08%	20
North Flinders	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	12,231	1.17%	143	12,231	0.11%	13	10,828	1.34%	145	10,828	0.13%	14	23,059	1.25%	288	23,059	0.12%	28
South Renison	866,513	2.02%	17,471	866,513	0.65%	5,602	4,738,604	1.43%	67,938	4,738,604	0.37%	17,748	1,566,450	1.43%	22,327	1,566,450	0.27%	4,155	7,171,567	1.50%	107,736	7,171,567	0.38%	27,505
North Renison	265,880	1.86%	4,945	265,880	0.23%	607	2,871,366	1.47%	42,140	2,871,366	0.21%	6,124	1,199,604	1.41%	16,854	1,199,604	0.14%	1,719	4,336,850	1.47%	63,940	4,336,850	0.19%	8,449
North Stebbins		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	124,250	1.43%	1,777	124,250	0.18%	224	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	124,250	1.43%	1,777	124,250	0.18%	224
Sligo	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	153,871	1.02%	1,569	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	153,871	1.02%	1,569	-	0.00%	-
South Bassett	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	280,000	0.80%	2,240	280,000	1.10%	3,080	280,000	0.80%	2,240	280,000	1.10%	3,080
Stockpiles																								
Renison	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77
Fine Ore Bins	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6
Mount Bischoff (including Laffer's and Adit 4)	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Scats	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tin In Circuit	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1
Prospects																								
Argents	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	26,000	1.67%	434	-	0.00%	-	26,000	1.67%	434	-	0.00%	-
Eldon	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	13,400	0.88%	118	-	0.00%	-	59,700	2.70%	1,613	-	0.00%	-	73,100	2.37%	1,731	-	0.00%	-
Battery	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Colebrook	63,600	1.41%	897	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	63,600	1.41%	897	-	0.00%	-
Dreadnought	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fire	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Godkin	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	85,400	0.81%	692	-	0.00%	-	85,400	0.81%	692	-	0.00%	-
Luck	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Montana North	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	5,000	1.20%	60	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	5,000	1.20%	60	-	0.00%	-
Myrtle	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	25,300	0.83%	210	-	0.00%	-	25,300	0.83%	210	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	60,300	1.02%	615	-	0.00%	-	60,300	1.02%	615	-	0.00%	-
Penzance	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	109,400	1.15%	1,258	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	109,400	1.15%	1,258	-	0.00%	-
Pieman	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	10,800	1.70%	184	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	10,800	1.70%	184	-	0.00%	-
Pieman West	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	3,200	3.75%	120	-	0.00%	-	3,200	3.75%	120	-	0.00%	-
Polaris	13,000	2.04%	265	-	0.00%	-	5,600	1.52%	85	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	18,600	1.88%	350	-	0.00%	-
Sassafras	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	10,000	0.70%	70	-	0.00%	-	10,000	0.70%	70	-	0.00%	-
Tyndall	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	97,800	1.11%	1,086	-	0.00%	-	20,000	0.80%	160	-	0.00%	-	117,800	1.06%	1,246	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**1,224,506**	**1.94%**	**23,763**	**1,147,906**	**0.55%**	**6,293**	**8,275,886**	**1.43%**	**118,118**	**7,746,451**	**0.31%**	**24,109**	**3,373,578**	**1.36%**	**45,793**	**3,116,570**	**0.29%**	**9,015**	**12,873,970**	**1.46%**	**187,674**	**12,010,927**	**0.33%**	**39,417**

3. Ore Reserve Estimate – Renison Project by Lode and Category

	METALS X LIMITED BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA Reserve Statement 30/06/2015																	
	Proven						Probable						Total					
Ore Body	Tonnes	Tin Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Copper Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Tin Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Copper Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Tin Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Copper Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																		
Clarke	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dalcoath	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	33,496	0.93%	312	-	0.00%	-	33,496	0.93%	312	-	0.00%	-
Howard	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Melba	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
North Flinders	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	25,526	1.21%	308	25,526	0.15%	38	25,526	1.21%	308	25,526	0.15%	38
South Renison	911,307	1.69%	15,409	911,307	0.58%	5,288	3,795,410	1.23%	46,782	3,621,860	0.29%	10,439	4,706,717	1.32%	62,191	4,533,167	0.35%	15,727
North Renison	386,463	1.40%	5,412	386,463	0.08%	323	1,390,995	1.20%	16,623	1,390,995	0.14%	1,947	1,777,458	1.24%	22,035	1,777,458	0.13%	2,271
North Stebbins	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sligo	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	114,349	1.04%	1,189	-	0.00%	-	114,349	1.04%	1,189	-	0.00%	-
South Bassett	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																		
Renison	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77
Fine Ore Bins	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6
Mount Bischoff (including Laffer's and Adit 4)	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Scats	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tin In Circuit	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1
Prospects																		
Argents	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Eldon	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Battery	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Colebrook	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dreadnought	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fire	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Godkin	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Luck	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Montana North	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Myrtle	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Penzance	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman West	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Polaris	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sassafras	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tyndall	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**1,313,283**	**1.60%**	**21,007**	**1,313,283**	**0.43%**	**5,695**	**5,359,776**	**1.22%**	**65,214**	**5,038,381**	**0.25%**	**12,424**	**6,673,059**	**1.29%**	**86,221**	**6,351,664**	**0.29%**	**18,119**

4. Annual Mineral Resource Inventory Changes – Renison Mine

	2014 JUNE RESOURCE						2015 JUNE RESOURCE						DIFFERENCE						CREDITED MINED					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Clarke	65,144	1.28%	835	-	0.00%	-	53,062	1.27%	671	-	0.00%	-	-12,082	1.36%	-164	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dalcoath	47,610	0.94%	448	-	0.00%	-	47,610	0.94%	448	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Howard	34,354	1.71%	587	34,354	0.08%	27	34,354	1.71%	587	34,354	0.08%	27	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Melba	25,334	1.44%	366	25,334	0.08%	20	25,334	1.44%	366	25,334	0.08%	20	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
North Flinders	23,059	1.25%	288	23,059	0.12%	28	23,059	1.25%	288	23,059	0.12%	28	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
South Renison	5,887,421	1.70%	100,354	5,664,254	0.45%	25,277	7,171,567	1.50%	107,736	7,171,567	0.38%	27,505	1,284,146	0.57%	7,381	1,507,313	0.15%	2,228	464,193	1.56%	7,241	464,193	0.43%	1,996
North Renison	4,132,053	1.48%	60,951	4,117,698	0.20%	8,229	4,336,850	1.47%	63,940	4,336,850	0.19%	8,449	204,797	1.46%	2,989	219,152	0.10%	220	207,042	1.37%	2,836	207,042	0.16%	331
North Stebbins	124,250	1.43%	1,777	124,250	0.18%	224	124,250	1.43%	1,777	124,250	0.18%	224	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sligo	153,871	1.02%	1,569	-	0.00%	-	153,871	1.02%	1,569	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
South Bassett	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	280,000	0.80%	2,240	280,000	1.10%	3,080	280,000	0.80%	2,240	280,000	1.10%	3,080	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																								
Renison	6,276	1.58%	99	6,276	0.24%	15	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77	8,057	0.85%	69	8,056	0.77%	62	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fine Ore Bins	1,000	1.48%	15	1,000	0.42%	4	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6	-198	2.41%	-5	-198	-0.96%	2	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Mount Bischoff (including Laffer's and Adit 4)	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Scats	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tin In Circuit	443	2.51%	11	443	0.34%	2	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1	-64	4.52%	-3	-64	1.47%	-1	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Prospects																								
Argents	27,900	1.70%	474	-	0.00%	-	26,000	1.67%	434	-	0.00%	-	-1,900	2.08%	-40	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Argents	73,100	2.37%	1,731	-	0.00%	-	26,000	1.67%	434	-	0.00%	-	-47,100	2.75%	-1,296	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Battery	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Colebrook	63,600	1.41%	897	-	0.00%	-	63,600	1.41%	897	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dreadnought	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fire	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Godkin	85,400	0.81%	692	-	0.00%	-	85,400	0.81%	692	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Luck	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Montana North	5,000	1.20%	60	-	0.00%	-	5,000	1.20%	60	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Myrtle	25,300	0.83%	210	-	0.00%	-	25,300	0.83%	210	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	60,300	1.02%	615	-	0.00%	-	60,300	1.02%	615	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Penzance	109,400	1.15%	1,258	-	0.00%	-	109,400	1.15%	1,258	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman	10,800	1.70%	184	-	0.00%	-	10,800	1.70%	184	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman West	3,200	3.75%	120	-	0.00%	-	3,200	3.75%	120	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Polaris	18,600	1.88%	350	-	0.00%	-	18,600	1.88%	350	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sassafras	10,000	0.70%	70	-	0.00%	-	10,000	0.70%	70	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tyndall	117,800	1.06%	1,246	-	0.00%	-	117,800	1.06%	1,246	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**10,058,566**	**1.55%**	**155,939**	**7,529,798**	**0.34%**	**25,421**	**12,826,870**	**1.45%**	**186,378**	**12,010,927**	**0.33%**	**39,417**	**1,715,656**	**0.65%**	**11,172**	**2,014,259**	**0.28%**	**5,592**	**671,235**	**1.50%**	**10,078**	**671,235**	**0.35%**	**2,327**

5. Annual Ore Reserve Inventory Changes – Renison Mine

RESERVE RECONCILIATION																								
	2014 JUNE RESERVE						2015 JUNE RESERVE						DIFFERENCE						CREDITED MINED					
		Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper	
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Clarke	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dalcoath	33,496	0.93%	312	-	0.00%	-	33,496	0.93%	312	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Howard	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Melba	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
North Flinders	89,762	1.29%	1,158	89,762	0.27%	242	25,526	1.21%	308	25,526	0.15%	38	- 64,236	1.32%	- 850	- 64,236	0.32%	- 205	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
South Renison	3,637,253	1.47%	53,445	3,637,253	0.30%	11,039	4,706,717	1.32%	62,191	4,533,167	0.35%	15,727	1,069,464	0.82%	8,746	895,914	0.52%	4,687	464,193	1.56%	7,241	464,193	0.43%	1,996
North Renison	2,028,422	1.22%	24,693	2,028,422	0.13%	2,706	1,777,458	1.24%	22,035	1,777,458	0.13%	2,271	- 250,964	1.06%	- 2,658	- 250,964	0.17%	- 435	207,042	1.37%	2,836	207,042	0.16%	331
North Stebbins	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sligo	114,349	1.04%	1,189	-	0.00%	-	114,349	1.04%	1,189	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
South Bassett	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																								
Renison	6,276	1.58%	99	6,276	0.24%	15	14,332	1.17%	168	14,332	0.54%	77	8,057	0.85%	69	8,056	0.77%	62	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fine Ore Bins	1,000	1.48%	15	1,000	0.42%	4	802	1.25%	10	802	0.76%	6	- 198	2.41%	- 5	- 198	-0.96%	2	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Mount Bischoff (including Laffer's and Adit 4)	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Scats	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tin In Circuit	443	2.51%	11	443	0.34%	2	379	2.17%	8	379	0.15%	1	- 64	4.52%	- 3	- 64	1.47%	- 1	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Prospects																								
Argents	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Argents	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Battery	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Colebrook	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dreadnought	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Fire	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Godkin	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Luck	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Montana North	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Myrtle	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Penzance	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Pieman West	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Polaris	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sassafras	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Tyndall	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**5,911,001**	**1.37%**	**80,922**	**5,763,156**	**0.24%**	**14,009**	**6,673,059**	**1.29%**	**86,221**	**6,351,664**	**0.29%**	**18,119**	**762,059**	**0.70%**	**5,299**	**588,509**	**0.70%**	**4,111**	**671,235**	**1.50%**	**10,078**	**671,235**	**0.35%**	**2,327**

6. Mineral Resource Estimate – Rentails Project

Ore Body	METALS X LIMITED BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA JOINT VENTURE Resource Statement 30/06/2015																							
	Measured						Indicated						Inferred						Total					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Dam A	3,005,694	0.46%	13,892	3,005,694	0.20%	6,119	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	3,005,694	0.46%	13,892	3,005,694	0.20%	6,119
Dam B	2,842,484	0.45%	12,786	2,842,484	0.17%	4,723	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	2,842,484	0.45%	12,786	2,842,484	0.17%	4,723
Dam C	15,993,420	0.44%	71,131	15,993,420	0.23%	37,338	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	15,993,420	0.44%	71,131	15,993,420	0.23%	37,338
Stockpiles																								
Prospects																								
Totals	**21,841,598**	**0.45%**	**97,809**	**21,841,598**	**0.22%**	**48,181**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**21,841,598**	**0.45%**	**97,809**	**21,841,598**	**0.22%**	**48,181**

7. Ore Reserve Estimate – Rentails Project

	METALS X LIMITED BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA JOINT VENTURE Reserve Statement 30/06/2015																	
Ore Body	Proven						Probable						Total					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																		
Dam A	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	2,782,371	0.46%	12,860	2,782,371	0.20%	5,665	2,782,371	0.46%	12,860	2,782,371	0.20%	5,665
Dam B	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	2,704,341	0.45%	12,164	2,704,341	0.17%	4,494	2,704,341	0.45%	12,164	2,704,341	0.17%	4,494
Dam C	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	15,478,074	0.44%	68,839	15,478,074	0.23%	36,135	15,478,074	0.44%	68,839	15,478,074	0.23%	36,135
Stockpiles																		
Prospects																		
Totals	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**20,964,786**	**0.45%**	**93,863**	**20,964,786**	**0.22%**	**46,293**	**20,964,786**	**0.45%**	**93,863**	**20,964,786**	**0.22%**	**46,293**

8. Annual Mineral Resource & Ore Reserve Inventory Changes – Rentails Project

RESOURCE RECONCILIATION

	2014 JUNE RESOURCE						2015 JUNE RESOURCE						DIFFERENCE						CREDITED MINED					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Dam A	2,678,375	0.46%	12,321	2,678,375	0.17%	4,548	3,005,694	0.46%	13,892	3,005,694	0.20%	6,119	327,319	0.48%	1,571	327,319	0.48%	1,571	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dam B	2,780,103	0.45%	12,492	2,780,103	0.16%	4,430	2,842,484	0.45%	12,786	2,842,484	0.17%	4,723	62,381	0.47%	293	62,381	0.47%	293	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dam C	15,139,706	0.45%	67,722	15,139,706	0.23%	35,149	15,993,420	0.44%	71,131	15,993,420	0.23%	37,338	853,714	0.40%	3,410	853,714	0.26%	2,189	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																								
Prospects																								
Totals	**20,598,184**	**0.45%**	**92,535**	**20,598,184**	**0.21%**	**44,127**	**21,841,598**	**0.45%**	**97,809**	**21,841,598**	**0.22%**	**48,181**	**1,243,414**	**0.42%**	**5,274**	**1,243,414**	**0.33%**	**4,053**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**
DIFF RESOURCE	**106%**	**100%**	**106%**																					

RESERVE RECONCILIATION

	2014 JUNE RESERVE						2015 JUNE RESERVE						DIFFERENCE						CREDITED MINED					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Dam A	2,479,372	0.46%	11,304	2,479,372	0.17%	4,170	2,782,371	0.46%	12,860	2,782,371	0.20%	5,665	302,999	0.51%	1,556	302,999	0.49%	1,495	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dam B	2,644,992	0.45%	11,906	2,644,992	0.16%	4,174	2,704,341	0.45%	12,164	2,704,341	0.17%	4,494	59,349	0.43%	258	59,349	0.54%	320	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Dam C	14,632,729	0.45%	65,283	14,632,729	0.23%	33,840	15,478,074	0.44%	68,839	15,478,074	0.23%	36,135	845,345	0.42%	3,556	845,345	0.27%	2,295	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																								
Prospects																								
Totals	**19,757,093**	**0.45%**	**88,493**	**19,757,093**	**0.21%**	**42,184**	**20,964,786**	**0.45%**	**93,863**	**20,964,786**	**0.22%**	**46,293**	**1,207,693**	**0.44%**	**5,370**	**1,207,693**	**0.34%**	**4,109**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**

9. Mineral Resource Estimate – Mt Bischoff Project

	METALS X LIMITED BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA Resource Statement 30/06/2015																							
Ore Body	Measured						Indicated						Inferred						Total					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																								
Bischoff Pit	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	959,463	0.59%	5,626	-	0.00%	-	699,186	0.47%	3,300	-	0.00%	-	1,658,649	0.54%	8,926	-	0.00%	-
North Face	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	4,063	0.86%	35	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	4,063	0.86%	35	-	0.00%	-
Slaughter Yard	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	4,040	0.50%	20	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	4,040	0.50%	20	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																								
ROM Pad HG	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
ROM Pad LG	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Brown's Face LG Dump	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Prospects																								
Bischoff Extended	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Cross Lode	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Northeast Level	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Queen's	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stanhope	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Thompson's	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**967,566**	**0.59%**	**5,681**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**699,186**	**0.47%**	**3,300**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**1,666,752**	**0.54%**	**8,981**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**

10. Ore Reserve Estimate – Mt Bischoff Project

	METALS X LIMITED																	
	BLUESTONE MINES TASMANIA																	
	Reserve Statement																	
	30/06/2015																	
Ore Body	Proven						Probable						Total					
	Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper			Tin			Copper		
	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Sn Metal	Tonnes	Grade	Cu Metal
Mines																		
Bischoff Pit	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
North Face	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Slaughter Yard	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stockpiles																		
ROM Pad HG	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
ROM Pad LG	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Brown's Face LG Dump	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Prospects																		
Bischoff Extended	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Cross Lode	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Northeast Level	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Queen's	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Stanhope	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Thompson's	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Totals	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**

Metals X Limited - Gold Division
Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves

NICKEL DIVISION
(as at 30 June 2015)

WINGELLINA PROJECT – Executive Summary

Mineral Resource Estimate
Ore Reserves Estimate

METALS X LIMITED
Nickel Division
Mineral Resource Statement - Rounded for Reporting
31/12/2015

Project	*Nickel*			*Cobalt*			*Fe2O3*		
	kT	*Grade*	*kT Ni*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kT Co*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kT Fe2O3*
MEASURED									
Wingellina	68,847	1.00%	688	68,847	0.08%	54	68,847	48.71%	33,535
Sub-Total	**68,847**	**1.00%**	**688**	**68,847**	**0.08%**	**54**	**68,847**	**48.71%**	**33,535**
INDICATED									
Wingellina	98,623	0.97%	957	98,623	0.08%	74	98,623	46.39%	45,751
Sub-Total	**98,623**	**0.97%**	**957**	**98,623**	**0.08%**	**74**	**98,623**	**46.39%**	**45,751**
INFERRED									
Wingellina	49,004	0.86%	422	49,004	0.07%	34	49,004	40.02%	19,609
Sub-Total	**49,004**	**0.86%**	**422**	**49,004**	**0.07%**	**34**	**49,004**	**40.02%**	**19,609**
TOTAL									
Wingellina	216,474	0.95%	2,067	216,474	0.07%	161	216,474	45.68%	98,896
Grand Total	**216,474**	**0.95%**	**2,067**	**216,474**	**0.07%**	**161**	**216,474**	**45.68%**	**98,896**

METALS X LIMITED
Nickel Division
Ore Reserve Statement - Rounded for Reporting
31/12/2015

Project	*Nickel*			*Cobalt*			*Fe2O3*		
	kT	*Grade*	*kT Ni*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kT Co*	*kT*	*Grade*	*kT Fe2O3*
PROVEN									
Wingellina	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-
Sub-Total	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**	**-**	**0.00%**	**-**
PROBABALE									
Wingellina	167,470	0.98%	1,645	167,470	0.08%	128	167,470	47.34%	79,287
Sub-Total	**167,470**	**0.98%**	**1,645**	**167,470**	**0.08%**	**128**	**167,470**	**47.34%**	**79,287**
TOTAL									
Wingellina	167,470	0.98%	1,645	167,470	0.08%	128	167,470	47.34%	79,287
Grand Total	**167,470**	**0.98%**	**1,645**	**167,470**	**0.08%**	**128**	**167,470**	**47.34%**	**79,287**

Metals X Limited - Gold Division
Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves

ROVER POLYMETALLIC PROJECTS
(as at 30 June 2015)

ROVER PROJECT – Executive Summary

Mineral Resource Estimates – Rover1, Explorer 108 & Explorer 142

			ROVER PROJECT					
		MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES (as at 30 June 2015)						
MEASURED RESOURCE								
		Gold	Silver	Copper	Cobalt	Bismuth	Lead	Zinc
PROSPECT	**Tonnes**	Grade g/t	Grade g/t	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %
ROVER 1	0							
EXPLORER 108	0							
EXPLORER 142	0							
INDICATED RESOURCE								
		Gold	Silver	Copper	Cobalt	Bismuth	Lead	Zinc
	Tonnes	Grade g/t	Grade g/t	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %
ROVER 1	2,740,771	2.42	2.33	1.42	0.04	0.18		
EXPLORER 108	8,438,220		14.32				2.05	3.41
EXPLORER 142	0							
		INFERRED RESOURCE						
		Gold	Silver	Copper	Cobalt	Bismuth	Lead	Zinc
	Tonnes	Grade g/t	Grade g/t	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %	Grade %
ROVER 1	4,073,374	1.27	1.90	1.06	0.08	0.11		
EXPLORER 108	3,429,984		3.32				1.88	2.81
EXPLORER 142	175,646	0.21		5.21				
		TOTAL MINERAL RESOURCE						
		Gold	**Silver**	**Copper**	**Cobalt**	**Bismuth**	**Lead**	**Zinc**
	Tonnes	**Grade g/t**	**Grade g/t**	**Grade %**	**Grade %**	**Grade %**	**Grade %**	**Grade %**
ROVER 1	**6,814,145**							
EXPLORER 108	**11,868,204**		**11.14**				**2.00**	**3.24**
EXPLORER 142	**175,646**	**0.21**		**5.21**				

APPENDIX 1 – JORC 2012 TABLE 1 – GOLD DIVISION

SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. • In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	**HGO** • Diamond Drilling The bulk of the data used in resource calculations at Trident has been gathered from diamond core. Four types of diamond core sample have been historically collected. The predominant sample method is half-core NQ2 diamond with half-core LTK60 diamond, Whole core LTK48 diamond and whole core BQ also used. This core is logged and sampled to geologically relevant intervals. The bulk of the data used in resource calculations at Chalice has been gathered from diamond core. The predominant drilling and sample type is half core NQ2 diamond. Occasionally whole core has been sampled to streamline the core handling process. Historically half and whole core LTK60 and half core HQ diamond have been used. This core is logged and sampled to geologically relevant intervals. • Face Sampling Each development face / round is chip sampled at both Trident and Chalice. One or two channels are taken per face perpendicular to the mineralisation. The sampling intervals are domained by geological constraints (e.g. rock type, veining and alteration / sulphidation etc.) with an effort made to ensure each 3kg sample is representative of the interval being extracted. Samples are taken in a range from 0.1 m up to 1.2 m in waste / mullock. All exposures within the orebody are sampled. • Sludge Drilling Sludge drilling at Chalice and Trident is performed with an underground production drill rig. It is an open hole drilling method using water as the flushing medium, with a 64mm or 89mm hole diameter. Samples are taken twice per drill steel (1.9m steel, 0.8m sample). Holes are drilled at sufficient angles to allow flushing of the hole with water following each interval to prevent contamination. • RC Drilling For Fairplay, Vine, Lake Cowan, Two Boys, Mousehollow, Pioneer and Eundynie the bulk of the data used in the resource estimate is sourced from RC drilling. Minor RC drilling is also utilised at Trident, Musket, Chalice and the Palaeochannels (Wills, Pluto, Mitchell 3 & 4). Drill cuttings are extracted from the RC return via cyclone. The underflow from each 1 m interval is transferred via bucket to a four tiered riffle splitter, delivering approximately three kilograms of the recovered material into calico bags for analysis. The residual material is retained on the ground near the hole. Samples too wet to be split through the riffle splitter are taken as grabs and are recorded as such.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	
Drill sample recovery	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• RAB / Air Core Drilling Drill cuttings are extracted from the RAB and Aircore return via cyclone. 4m Composite samples are obtained by spear sampling from the individual 1m drill return piles; the residue material is retained on the ground near the hole. In the Palaeochannels 1m samples are riffle split for analysis. There is no RAB or Aircore drilling used in the estimation of Trident, Chalice, Corona, Fairplay, Vine, Lake Cowan and Two Boys. **SKO** SKO is a long-term producing operation with a long history of drilling and sampling to support exploration and resource development. • Sampling Techniques Chips from the RC drilling face-sampling hammer are collected for assaying. Sample return lines are cleaned with compressed air each metre and the cyclone sample collector is cleaned following each rod. Samples are riffle split through a three-tier splitter with a split ~3kg sample (generally at 1m intervals) pulverised to produce a 30g charge analysed via fire assay. Diamond drill-core is geologically logged and then sampled according to geology (minimum sample length of 0.4 m to maximum sample length of 1.5 m) – where consistent geology is sampled, a 1m length is used for sampling the core. The core is sawn half-core with one half sent off for analysis. Samples have been collected from numerous other styles of drilling at SKO, including but not limited to RAB, aircore, blast-hole, sludge drilling and face samples. • Drilling Techniques Historical data includes DD, RC, RAB and aircore holes drilled between 1984 and 2010. Not all the historical drilling programmes at SKO are documented and many historical holes are assigned a drill type of 'unknown'. Over 4,000 km of drilling has been completed on the tenure. Drilling by the most recent previous owners (Alacer Gold Corporation) has predominantly been RC, with minor DD and aircore drilling. RC drilling is used predominantly for defining and testing for near-surface mineralisation and utilises a face sampling hammer with the sample being collected on the inside of the drill-tube. RC drillholes utilise downhole single or multi shot cameras. Drillhole collars were surveyed by onsite mine surveyors. Diamond drilling is used for either testing / targeting deeper mineralised systems or to define the orientation of the host geology. Many of these holes had RC pre-collars generally to a depth of between 60 – 120m, followed by a diamond tail. The majority of these holes have been drilled at NQ2 size with minor HQ sized core. All diamond holes were surveyed during drilling with downhole cameras, and then at end of hole using a Gyro Inclinometer at 5 or 10 m intervals. Drillhole collars were surveyed by onsite mine surveyors.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Sample Recovery Sample recovery is generally good, and there is no indication that sampling presents a material risk for the quality of the evaluation of any deposit at SKO. **CMGP** • Diamond Drilling A significant portion of the data used in resource calculations at the CMGP has been gathered from diamond core. Multiple sizes have been used historically. This core is geologically logged and subsequently halved for sampling. Grade control holes may be whole-cored to streamline the core handling process if required. • Face Sampling At each of the major past underground producers at the CMGP, each development face / round is horizontally chip sampled. The sampling intervals are domained by geological constraints (e.g. rock type, veining and alteration / sulphidation etc.). The majority of exposures within the orebody are sampled. • Sludge Drilling Sludge drilling at the CMGP was performed with an underground production drill rig. It is an open hole drilling method using water as the flushing medium, with a 64mm (nominal) hole diameter. Sample intervals are ostensibly the length of the drill steel. Holes are drilled at sufficient angles to allow flushing of the hole with water following each interval to prevent contamination. Sludge drilling is not used to inform resource models. • RC Drilling RC drilling has been utilised at the CMGP. Drill cuttings are extracted from the RC return via cyclone. The underflow from each interval is transferred via bucket to a four tiered riffle splitter, delivering approximately three kilograms of the recovered material into calico bags for analysis. The residual material is retained on the ground near the hole. Composite samples are obtained from the residue material for initial analysis, with the split samples remaining with the individual residual piles until required for re-split analysis or eventual disposal. • RAB / Aircore Drilling Combined scoops from bucket dumps from cyclone for composite. Split samples taken from individual bucket dumps via scoop. RAB holes are not included in the resource estimate. • Blast Hole Drilling Cuttings sampled via splitter tray per individual drill rod. Blast holes not included in the resource estimate. All geology input is logged and validated by the relevant area geologists, incorporated into this is assessment of sample recovery. No defined relationship exists between sample recovery and grade. Nor has sample bias due to preferential loss or gain of fine or coarse material been noted.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged	• Metals X surface drill-holes are all orientated and have been logged in detail for geology, veining, alteration, mineralisation and orientated structure. Metals X underground drill-holes are logged in detail for geology, veining, alteration, mineralisation and structure. Core has been logged in enough detail to allow for the relevant mineral resource estimation techniques to be employed. • Surface core is photographed both wet and dry and underground core is photographed wet. All photos are stored on the companies servers, with the photographs from each hole contained within separate folders. • Development faces are mapped geologically. • RC, RAB and Aircore chips are geologically logged. • Sludge drilling is logged for lithology, mineralisation and vein
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	**HGO** • NQ2 and LTK60 diameter core is sawn half core using a diamond-blade saw, with one half of the core consistently taken for analysis. LTK48 and BQ are whole core sampled. Sludge samples are dried then riffle split. • The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required. • For the onsite Intertek facility the entire dried sample is jaw crushed (JC2500 or Boyd Crusher) to a nominal 85% passing 2mm with crushing equipment cleaned between samples. An analytical sub-sample of approximately 500-750 g is split out from the crushed sample using a riffle splitter, with the coarse residue being retained for any verification analysis. Sample preparation techniques are appropriate for the type of analytical process. • Where Fire assay has been used the entire half core sample (3-3.5 kg) is crushed and pulverised (single stage mix and grind using LM5 mills) to a target of 85-90% passing 75µm in size. A 200g sub-sample is then separated out for analysis. • Core and underground face samples are taken to geologically relevant boundaries to ensure each sample is representative of a geological domain. Sludge samples are taken to nominal sample lengths. • The sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. • For RC, RAB and Aircore chips regular field duplicates are collected and analysed for significant variance to primary results. • RAB and Aircore sub-samples are collected through spear sampling. **SKO** • NQ2 and HQ diameter core is sawn half core using a diamond-blade saw, with one half of the core consistently taken for analysis. Smaller sized core (LTK48 and BQ) are whole core sampled. The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required. • SKO staff collect the sample in pre-numbered calico sample bags which are then submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Delivery of the sample is by a SKO staff member. • RC samples are collected at 1m intervals with the samples being riffle split through a three-tier splitter. The samples are collected by the RC drill crews in pre-numbered calico sample bags which are then collected by SKO staff for submission. Delivery of the sample to the laboratory is by a SKO staff member.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Upon delivery to the laboratory, the sample numbers are checked by the SKO staff member against the sample submission sheet. Sample numbers are recorded and tracked by the laboratory using electronic coding. • Sample preparation techniques are considered appropriate for the style of mineralisation being tested for – this technique is industry standard across the Eastern Goldfields. **CMGP** • Blast holes -Sampled via splitter tray per individual drill rods. • RAB / AC chips - Combined scoops from bucket dumps from cyclone for composite. Split samples taken from individual bucket dumps via scoop. • RC - Three tier riffle splitter (approximately 5kg sample). Samples generally dry. • Face Chips - Nominally chipped horizontally across the face from left to right, sub-set via geological features as appropriate. • Diamond Drilling - Half-core niche samples, sub-set via geological features as appropriate. Grade control holes may be whole-cored to streamline the core handling process if required. • Chips / core chips undergo total preparation. • Samples undergo fine pulverisation of the entire sample by an LM5 type mill to achieve a 75µ product prior to splitting. • QA/QC is currently ensured during the sub-sampling stages process via the use of the systems of an independent NATA / ISO accredited laboratory contractor. A significant portion of the historical informing data has been processed by in-house laboratories. • The sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. • The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required. For RC chips regular field duplicates are collected and analysed for significant variance to primary results.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	**HGO** • At the Intertek on-site facility, analysis is performed using a 500g PAL method. The accurately weighed sub-sample is further processed utilising a PAL1000B to grind the sample to a nominal 90% passing 75µm particle size, whilst simultaneously extracting any cyanide amenable gold liberated into a Leachwell liquor. The resulting liquor is then analysed for gold content by organic extraction with flame AAS finish, with an overall method detection limit of 0.01ppm Au content in the original sample. This method is appropriate for the type and magnitude of mineralisation at Higginsville. • Quality control procedures include the use of standards, blanks and duplicates. Standards and duplicates are used to test both the accuracy and precision of the analytical process, while blanks are employed to test for contamination during the sample preparation stage. The analyses have confirmed the analytical process employed at Higginsville is adequately precise and accurate for use as part of the mineral resource estimation.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		SKO • Only nationally accredited laboratories are used for the analysis of the samples collected at SKO. • The laboratory dry and if necessary (if the sample is >3kg) riffle split the sample, which is then jaw crushed and pulverised (the entire 3kg sample) in a ring mill to a nominal 90% passing 75 microns. All recent RC and Diamond core samples are analysed via Fire Assay, which involves a 30g charge (sub-sampled after the pulverisation) of the analytical pulp being fused at 1050°C for 45 minutes with litharge. The resultant metal pill is digested in • aqua regia and the gold content determined by atomic adsorption spectrometry – detection limit is 0.01 ppm Au. • Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) samples are routinely submitted by SKO staff and comprise standards, blanks, assay pills, field duplicates, lab duplicates and repeat analyses. The results for these QA/QC samples are routinely analysed by Senior Geologists with any discrepancies dealt with in conjunction with the laboratory prior to the analytical data being imported into the database. • There is limited information available on historic QA/QC procedures. SKO has generally accepted the available data at face value and carry out data validation procedures as each deposit is re-evaluated. • The analytical techniques used are considered appropriate for the style of mineralisation being tested for – this technique is industry standard across the Eastern Goldfields. • Ongoing production data generally confirms the validity of prior sampling and assaying of the mined deposits to within acceptable limits of accuracy. **CMGP** • Recent drilling was analysed by fire assay as outlined below; » A 50g sample undergoes fire assay lead collection followed by flame atomic adsorption spectrometry. » The laboratory includes a minimum of 1 project standard with every 22 samples analysed. » Quality control is ensured via the use of standards, blanks and duplicates. • No significant QA/QC issues have arisen in recent drilling results. • Historical drilling has used a combination of Fire Assay, Aqua Regia and PAL analysis. • These assay methodologies are appropriate for the resources in question.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• No independent or alternative verifications are available. • Virtual twinned holes have been drilled in several instances across all sites with no significant issues highlighted. Drillhole data is also routinely confirmed by development assay data in the operating environment. • Primary data is collected utilising LogChief. The information is imported into a SQL database server and verified. • All data used in the calculation of resources and reserves are compiled in databases (underground and open pit) which are overseen and validated by senior geologists. • No adjustments have been made to any assay data.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Location of data points	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	**HGO** • Collar coordinates for surface drill-holes were generally determined by GPS, with underground drill-holes generally determined by survey pick-up. Downhole survey measurements for most surface diamond holes were by Gyro-compass at 5m intervals. Holes not gyro-surveyed were surveyed using Eastman single shot cameras at 20m intervals. Downhole surveys for underground diamond drill-holes were taken at 15 – 30m intervals by Reflex single-shot cameras. Routine survey pick-ups of underground and surface holes where they intersected development indicates (apart from some minor discrepancies with pre-Avoca drilling) a survey accuracy of less than 5m. • All drilling and resource estimation is undertaken in local mine grid at the various projects. • Topographic control is generated from Differential GPS. This methodology is adequate for the resource in question. **SKO** • Collar coordinates for surface RC and diamond drill-holes were generally determined by either RTK-GPS or a total station survey instrument. Underground drill-hole locations (Mount Marion and HBJ) were all surveyed using a Leica reflectorless total station. • Recent surface diamond holes were surveyed during drilling with down-hole single shot cameras and then at the end of the hole by Gyro-Inclinometer at 5 or 10mm intervals. Holes not gyro-surveyed were surveyed using Eastman single shot cameras at 20m intervals. RC drill-holes utilised down-hole single shot camera surveys spaced every 15 to 30m down-hole. • Down-hole surveys for underground diamond drill-holes were taken at 15 – 30m intervals by Reflex single-shot cameras. • The orientation and size of the project determines if the resource estimate is undertaken in local or MGA 94 grid. Each project has a robust conversion between local, magnetic and an MGA grid which is managed by the SKO survey department. • Topographic control is generated from RTK GPS. This methodology is adequate for the resources in question. **CMGP** • All data is spatially oriented by survey controls via direct pickups by the survey department. Drillholes are all surveyed downhole, deeper holes with a Gyro tool if required, the majority with single / multishot cameras. • All drilling and resource estimation is preferentially undertaken in local mine grid at the various sites. • Topographic control is generated from a combination of remote sensing methods and ground-based surveys. This methodology is adequate for the resources in question.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied.	**HGO** • Drilling in the underground environment at Trident is nominally carried-out on 20m x 30m spacing for resource definition and in filled to a 10m x 15m spacing with grade control drilling. At Trident the drill spacing below the 500RL widens to an average of 40m x 80m. • Drilling at the Lake Cowan region is on a 20m x 10m spacing. Historical mining has shown this to be an appropriate spacing for the style of mineralisation and the classifications applied. Compositing is carried out based upon the modal sample length of each project. **SKO** • HBJ: Drill spacing ranges from 10m x 5m grade control drilling to 100m x 100m at deeper levels of the resource. The majority of the Indicated Resource is estimated using a maximum drill spacing of 40m x 40m. The resource has been classified based on drill density with mining of the 2.2km long HBJ Open-Pit confirming that the data spacing is adequate for the resource classifications applied • Mount Martin: Drill spacing ranges from 10m x 5m grade control drilling to 60m x 60m for the Inferred areas of the resource. The drill spacing for the majority of the Indicated Resource is 20m x 20m. The resource has been classified primarily on drill density and the confidence in the geological/ grade continuity – the data spacing and distribution is deemed adequate for the estimation techniques and classifications applied. • Pernatty: Drill spacing for the reported resource is no greater than 60m x 60m with the majority of the Indicated resource based on a maximum spacing of 40m x 40m. The geological interpretation of the area is well understood, and is supported by the knowledge from open pit and underground operations. However given the mineralisation is controlled by shear zones the mineralisation continuity is considered to be less understood. The resource is classified on a combination of drill density and the number of samples used to estimate the resource blocks. • Mount Marion: Drill-spacing ranges from 20m x 20m to no greater than 60m x 60m for the reported resource Given that the geological and mineralisation understanding is well established via mining operations, this drill-spacing is considered adequate for the classifications applied to the resource. • Compositing is carried out based upon the modal sample length of each project. **CMGP** • Data spacing is variable dependent upon the individual orebody under consideration. A lengthy history of mining has shown that this approach is appropriate for the Mineral Resource estimation process and to allow for classification of the resources as they stand. • Compositing is carried out based upon the modal sample length of each individual domain.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Drilling intersections are nominally designed to be normal to the orebody as far as underground infrastructure constraints / topography allows. • Development sampling is nominally undertaken normal to the various orebodies. • Where drilling angles are sub optimal the number of samples per drill hole used in the estimation has been limited to reduce any potential bias. • It is not considered that drilling orientation has introduced an appreciable sampling bias.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• The core is transported to the core storage facility by either drilling company personnel or geological staff. Once at the facility the samples are kept in a secure location while logging and sampling is being conducted. The storage facility is enclosed by a fence which is locked at night or when the geology staff are absent. The samples are transported to the laboratory facility or collection point by geological staff.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data	**HGO** • A review of the grade control practices on site has been undertaken by an external consultant. No formal external audit or review has been performed on the resource estimate. Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team. **SKO** • No formal external audit or review has been performed on the sampling techniques and data. Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team. **CMGP** • Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	**HGO** • State Royalty of 2.5% of revenue applies to all tenements. • The Trident Resource is located within mining leases M15/0642, M15/0351 and M15/0348. M15/0351 and M15/0642 also incur the Morgan Stanley royalty of 4% of revenue after 100,000oz of production and the Morgan Stanley price participation royalty at 10% of incremental revenue for gold prices above AUD$600/oz. M15/0642 is also subject to the Mitchell Royalty at AUD$32/oz. • The Chalice Resource is located on mining lease M15/0786. There are no additional royalties. • Lake Cowan is located on mining lease M15/1132. Lake Cowan is subject to an additional royalty (Brocks Creek) of $1/tonne of ore. **SKO** • State Royalty of 2.5% of revenue applies to all tenements, although does not apply to the 16 freehold titles (which host the majority of SKO's Resource inventory). There are a number of minor agreements attached to a select number of tenements and locations with many of these royalty agreements associated with tenements with no current Resources and/or Reserves. • Private royalty agreements are in place that relate to production from HBJ open-pit at $10/oz. In addition, a royalty is payable in the form of 1.75% of the total gold ounces produced from the following resources: Shirl Underground, Golden Hope, Bellevue, HBJ Open-pit, Mount Martin open-pit, Mount Martin Stockpiles and any reclaimed tailings. • SKO consists of 141 tenements including 16 freehold titles, 6 exploration licenses, 47 mining leases, 12 miscellaneous licenses and 60 prospecting licenses, all held directly by the Company. • There are no known issues regarding security of tenure. • There are no known impediments to continued operation. **CMGP** • Native title interests are recorded against several CMGP tenements. • The CMGP tenements are held by the Big Bell Gold Operations (BBGO) of which Metals X has 100% ownership. • Several third party royalties exist across various tenements at CMGP, over and above the state government royalty. • BBGO operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the leases. • There are no known issues regarding security of tenure. • There are no known impediments to continued operation.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other partie	• The Higginsville region has an exploration and production history in excess of 30 years. • The SKO tenements have an exploration and production history in excess of 100 years. • The CMGP tenements have an exploration and production history in excess of 100 years. • Metals X work has generally confirmed the veracity of historic exploration data.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	**HGO** • Trident is hosted primarily within a thick, weakly differentiated gabbro with subordinate mafic and ultramafic lithologies and comprises a series of north-northeast trending, shallowly north-plunging mineralised zones. The deposit comprises two main mineralisation styles; large wallrock-hosted ore-zones comprising sigmoidal quartz tensional vein arrays and associated metasomatic wall rock alteration hosted exclusively within the gabbro; • and thin, lode-style, nuggetty laminated quartz veins that formed primarily at sheared lithological contacts between the various mafic and ultramafic lithologies. • Lake Cowan mineralisation can be separated into two types. Structurally controlled primary mineralisation in ultramafics, basalts and felsics host (e.g. Louis, Josephine and Napoleon), and saprolite / palaeochannel hosted supergene hydromorphic deposits, including Sophia, Brigitte and Atreides. **SKO** • HBJ: The HBJ lodes form part of a gold mineralised system along the Boulder-Lefroy shear zone that is over 5km long and includes the Celebration, Mutooroo, HBJ and Golden Hope open-pit and underground mines. The lodes are hosted within a steeply-dipping, north-northwest striking package of mafic, ultramafic and sedimentary rocks and schists that have been intruded by felsic to intermediate porphyries. Gold mineralisation is structurally controlled and is focused along lithological contacts, within stockwork and tensional vein arrays and within shear zones. The main mineralised zone has a length in excess of 1.9 km and an average width of 40 m in the Jubilee workings but is generally narrower to the north in the Hampton -Boulder workings. • Mount Marion: • The Mount Marion deposit is located on the eastern side of the Coolgardie Domain within a flexure in the Karramindie Shear Zone. It is hosted within a sub-vertical sequence of meta-komatiites intercalated with metasediments that have been metamorphosed to amphibolite facies. Gold mineralisation occurs in a footwall and hangingwall lode, each ranging in thickness from 2 to 15m. The mineralisation plunges steeply to the west and is open at depth. • Mount Martin: The Mount Martin Tribute Area, is located within a regional scale north-northwest trending Archean Greenstone Belt. Within the Mount Martin - Carnilya area, the greenstone belt comprises a mixed sequence of ultramafic (predominantly komatiitic) and fine-grained, variably sulphidic sedimentary lithologies with subsidiary mafic units. Known gold and nickel mineralisation at the Mount Martin Mine is associated with a series of stacked, westerly dipping, sulphide and quartz-carbonate bearing lodes which are mainly hosted within intensely deformed and altered chloritic schists sandwiched between talc-carbonate ultramafic lithologies.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Pernatty: The Pernatty deposit is hosted within a granophyric phase of a gabbro and is controlled by a structurally complex interaction of a number of major shear zones. Shearing has altered the original granophyric quartz dolerite to a biotite-carbonate-plagioclase-pyrite schist. The sequence has also been intruded by mafic and felsic porphyritic dykes, which are also mineralised. **CMGP** • The CMGP is located in the Achaean Murchison Province, a granite-greenstone terrane in the northwest of the Yilgarn Craton. Greenstone belts trending north-northeast are separated by granite-gneiss domes, with smaller granite plutons also present within or on the margins of the belts. Mineralisation at Big Bell is hosted in the shear zone (Mine Sequence) and is associated with the post-peak metamorphic retrograde assemblages. Stibnite, native antimony and trace arsenopyrite are disseminated through the K-feldspar-rich lode schist. These are intergrown with pyrite and pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite. Mineralisation outside the typical Big Bell host rocks (KPSH), for example 1,600N and Shocker, also display a very strong W-As-Sb geochemical halo. • Numerous gold deposits occur within the Cuddingwarra Project area, the majority of which are hosted within the central mafic-ultramafic ± felsic porphyry sequence. Within this broad framework, mineralisation is shown to be spatially controlled by competency contrasts across, and flexures along, layer-parallel D2 shear zones, and is maximised when transected by corridors of northeast striking D3 faults and fractures. • The Great Fingall Dolerite hosts the majority gold mineralisation within the portion of the greenstone belt proximal to Cue (The Day Dawn Project Area). Unit AGF3 is the most brittle of all the five units and this characteristic is responsible for its role as the most favourable lithological host to gold mineralisation in the Greenstone Belt
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: » easting and northing of the drill hole collar » elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar » dip and azimuth of the hole » down hole length and interception depth » hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Ongoing surface and underground exploration activities will be undertaken to support continuing mining activities at Metals X Gold Operations.

SECTION 3 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. • Data validation procedures used.	• The database used for the estimation was extracted from the Metals X's DataShed database management system stored on a secure SQL server. • As new data is acquired it passes through a validation approval system designed to pick up any significant errors before the information is loaded into the master database.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Mr. Russell visits Metals X Gold Operations regularly.
Geological interpretation	• Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. • Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. • The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. • The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. • The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	**HGO** • Current and historical mining activities across the Higginsville region provide significant confidence in the geological interpretation of all projects. • No alternative interpretations are currently considered viable. • In all cases the local lithological and structural geology has been used to inform the interpretive process. All available information from drilling, underground mapping and pit mapping has been considered during interpretation. • The Trident, Corona, Fairplay, Vine and Two boys deposits are all hosted within a suite of east over west thrust repeated mafic, ultramafic and sedimentary rocks. In all cases the most favourable host is of mafic composition, generally gabbro and to a lesser extent basalt. Together the deposits form what is locally referred to as the Higginsville Line of Lode, a 5km long, north-northeast striking mineralised corridor of historic and current mining operations. Steep west and shallow east have been identified as the most favourable structural orientations for mineralisation. • At Chalice, multiple generations of unmineralised felsic intrusive cross cut the host amphibolite and influence both the volume and the grade, through contact remobilisation, of the mineralisation. The Resource Estimate is sensitive to the volume of unmineralised felsics within the mineralised horizon. • At both Chalice and Lake Cowan there is a lack of consistent visual proxies for mineralisation, making accurate ore delineation difficult. • High-grade zones within the palaeochannels are the result of a more preferential depositional environment due to changes in strike of the palaeochannel.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		SKO HBJ: The mineralisation has been modelled focussing on the structural (shear zone) and lithological (porphyry mainly) controls. The large scale (1.9km long and ~40m wide) provides significant confidence in the geological and grade continuity within the deposit. The interpretation has used predominantly RC drilling with some DD used for the deeper parts of the resource. There is an alternative interpretation that could be applied to this deposit, which focuses on defining and sub-domaining higher grade mineralisation that is evident at lithological contacts Mount Marion: The lithological and structural model for the Mount Marion deposit is well understood as it is supported by the knowledge gained from open-pit and underground operations. The mineralisation is hosted along a dilational flexure within the lode gneiss with clearly defined contact mineralisation with the surrounding ultramafic lithologies. The lithological model is used as the basis for the mineralisation interpretation and has been derived from predominantly RC and Diamond drill-holes. The confidence of the geological controls on mineralisation is consistent with the resource classification applied to the deposit. No alternative interpretations have been devised for this deposit. Mount Martin: Gold mineralisation at Mount Martin is associated with chlorite schists (shear zones) hosted within talc-carbonate ultramafic lithologies. Within these controlling shear zones are a series of stacked, westerly-dipping, sulphide and quartz carbonate bearing lodes which host the majority of the gold mineralisation. The geological and mineralisation interpretation used in this resource is consistent with that mined historically in the open pit. Although other interpretations have been proposed they tend to be variations on the steep westerly-dipping lodes theme adopted for this resource and as such would not represent a significant change in the contained metal. Pernatty: Mineralisation at Pernatty is controlled by a complex arrangement of very well-defined shear zones with the highest grade mineralisation associated with structural intersections and flexures along the three main shears. Given the consistency in orientation of the three main controlling shears, the confidence in the geological and mineralisation interpretation is deemed adequate.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		CMGP Mining has occurred since 1800's providing significant confidence in the currently geological interpretation across all projects. No alternative interpretations are currently considered viable. Geological interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure that the resultant estimated Mineral Resource figure was both sufficiently constrained, and representative of the expected sub-surface conditions. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. The structural regime is the dominant control on geological and grade continuity at the CMGP. Lithological factors such as rheology contrast are secondary controls on grade distribution.
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	**HGO** • The Trident mineral resource extends over 680m in strike length, 350m in lateral extent and 940m in depth. • Chalice mineralisation has been defined over a strike length of 700m, a lateral extent of 200m and a depth of 650m. • The Lake Cowan resource has been defined over a strike length of >1.5Km, a lateral extent of >500m and to a depth of >150m. • **SKO** • The HBJ deposit extends over 5km of strike (includes the Golden Hope and Mutooroo lodes) and up to 650m below surface with the individual lodes being up to 40m wide. • Mount Marion mineralisation extends to just under 1km in strike length, 800m in depth with the lodes varying in width from 3 – 15m. The mineralisation is steeply plunging resulting in a very small surface expression of the lodes. • The Mount Martin deposit has a strike length of 1km, a vertical extent of 350m, with the individual, shallow west-south-westerly dipping lodes varying between 2 – 10m true thickness. These lodes make up a mineralised package of ~300m true thickness (hangingwall to footwall). • The Pernatty deposit has a strike extent of 500m, 400m dip extent and up to 300m in lateral extent. The individual lodes are of varying orientations and are generally between 2 – 15m wide. **CMGP** • Individual deposit scales vary across the CMGP. • The Big Bell Trend is mineralised a strike length of >3,900m, a lateral extent of up +50m and a depth of over 1,500m. • Great Fingall is mineralised a strike length of >500m, a lateral extent of >600m and a depth of over 800m. • Black Swan South is mineralised a strike length of >1,700m, a lateral extent of up +75m and a depth of over 300m.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Estimation and modelling techniques	• The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	• **HGO** • For Trident, Chalice, Two Boys, Vine and Lake Cowan the modelling and estimation work was undertaken by Alacer Gold and carried out in Vulcan 3D mining software. • For Alacer Gold estimates the drill hole data to be used in the process was first validated. • The initial interpretation was then completed on 1:250 scale hardcopy cross sections, long sections and level plans, this interpretation was then validated by either the senior geologists or the Chief Geologist before then being digitised into the Vulcan 3D modelling package. The digitised polygons form the basis of the three dimensional orebody wireframe. Wireframing is then carried out using a combination of automated stitching algorithms and manual triangulation to create an accurate three dimensional representation of the sub-surface mineralised body. • Drillhole intersections within the mineralised body are defined, these intersections are then used to flag the appropriate sections of the drillhole database tables for compositing purposes. Drillholes are subsequently composited to allow for grade estimation. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • Once the sample data has been composited, a statistical analysis is undertaken to assist with determining estimation search parameters, top-cuts etc., this is carried out using Supervisor. Top cut analysis was carried out by assessing normal and log-histograms for extreme values and using a combination of mean variance plots and population disintegration techniques. Variographic analysis of individual domains is undertaken to assist with determining appropriate search parameters. In all cases knowledge of the geology was used to guide the analysis of the variogram fans in determining the orientation of maximum continuity. • An empty block model is then created for the area of interest; with each ore wireframe used to assign block domain codes which match the flag used for the composites. This model contains attributes set at background values for gold as well as density, and various estimation parameters that are subsequently used to assist in resource categorisation. The block sizes used in the model will vary depending on orebody geometry, minimum mining units, estimation parameters and levels of informing data available. • Grade estimation is then undertaken, with ordinary kriging estimation as standard, although in some circumstances where sample populations are small, or domains are unable to be accurately defined, inverse distance weighting estimation techniques will be used. At Trident a grade assignment method has been employed for the Athena orebody. This uses face sampling/mapping on each level to identify runs of vein with similar width and grade profiles. For each run, the length of the run and average vein width is calculated as well as a width weighted average vein grade. Two or more grade runs are then joined up across levels to form a grade block, a long section is used to validate the plunge of each grade block against the diamond drilling. The length and width of each run is used to calculate a length weighted average grade and an average vein width for the block. A wireframe for each grade block is created at the specified average vein width for the block. This wireframe is then assigned the previously calculated block grade using a post process script. • No by-products or deleterious elements are estimated. • No assumptions have been made about the correlation between variables.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• The estimation is validated using the following: a visual interrogation, a comparison of the mean composite grade to the mean block grade for each domain, a comparison of the • wireframe volume to the block volume for each domain, Grade trend plots (moving window statistics), comparison to the previous resource estimate. • The resource is then depleted for mining voids and subsequently classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters and geological / mining knowledge. • Production reconciliation data is regularly used to check the performance of the estimate and to adjust parameters is necessary. Good reconciliation between mine claimed figures and milled figures is routinely achieved.
		SKO • The HBJ mineral resource estimate was undertaken in December 2011 by Widenbar and Associates Pty Ltd. The grade interpolation method used was Ordinary Kriging (OK) in the Datamine ESTIMA process – a method that is appropriate for the style of mineralisation being estimated. A simple unfolding process has been applied to the data and model blocks in order to simplify the setup of search ellipses and allow searches to follow the varying dip and strike of the various domains. • Geological, mining as-built and mineralisation domains and a valid drillhole database were supplied by SKO personnel. The geological and mineralisation domains were used to control the interpolation as hard boundaries (mineralisation domains) and for the application of bulk density data (geological boundaries). • The Mineral Resource estimates for Mount Marion, Mount Martin and Pernatty were undertaken by Alacer Gold in September 2011. The geological and mineralisation wireframes as well as the grade interpolation was undertaken in Vulcan 8.04 3-D modelling software with statistical analysis undertaken using Snowden Supervisor software. The interpolation method used was Ordinary Kriging (OK) – a method that is appropriate for the styles of mineralisation being estimated. • Statistical analysis was undertaken to determine the composite length (1m) and for the application of top-cuts. • The search ellipses applied were based on a combination of drillhole spacing and variographic analysis. Various minimum and maximum samples were used in the first search with a maximum of four samples per drill-hole allowed. Several passes were used each with increasing search ellipse sizes, all the blocks in the mineralised domains were informed in the first pass. • The block model was depleted using surfaces / domains generated by the SKO Survey. • Validation of the models was completed by visual inspection, statistical comparisons and comparison with reconciliation data, with the final model achieving a satisfactory validation. • No deleterious elements were estimated as they are considered not material.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		CMGP • All modelling and estimation work undertaken by Metals X is carried out in three dimensions via Surpac Vision. • After validating the drillhole data to be used in the estimation, interpretation of the orebody is undertaken in sectional and / or plan view to create the outline strings which form the basis of the three dimensional orebody wireframe. Wireframing is then carried out using a combination of automated stitching algorithms and manual triangulation to create an accurate three dimensional representation of the sub-surface mineralised body. • Drillhole intersections within the mineralised body are defined, these intersections are then used to flag the appropriate sections of the drillhole database tables for compositing purposes. Drillholes are subsequently composited to allow for grade estimation. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • Once the sample data has been composited, a statistical analysis is undertaken to assist with determining estimation search parameters, top-cuts etc. Variographic analysis of individual domains is undertaken to assist with determining appropriate search parameters. Which are then incorporated with observed geological and geometrical features to determine the most appropriate search parameters. • An empty block model is then created for the area of interest. This model contains attributes set at background values for the various elements of interest as well as density, and various estimation parameters that are subsequently used to assist in resource categorisation. The block sizes used in the model will vary depending on orebody geometry, minimum mining units, estimation parameters and levels of informing data available. • Grade estimation is then undertaken, with ordinary kriging estimation method is considered as standard, although in some circumstances where sample populations are small, or domains are unable to be accurately defined, inverse distance weighting estimation techniques will be used. Both by-product and deleterious elements are estimated at the time of primary grade estimation if required. It is assumed that by-products correlate well with gold. There are no assumptions made about the recovery of by-products. • The resource is then depleted for mining voids and subsequently classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach has proven to be applicable to Metals X's gold assets. • Estimation results are routinely validated against primary input data, previous estimates and mining output.
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• Tonnage estimates are dry tonnes.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The cut off grades used for the reporting of the Mineral Resources have been selected based on the style of mineralisation, depth from surface of the mineralisation and the most probable extraction technique.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	**HGO** The principle extraction method at Trident is sub-level open stoping. For the narrow vein systems at Trident bench stoping is employed. **SKO** The Pernatty, Mount Martin and upper portions of the HBJ deposits are assumed to be amenable to open pit mining processes. A minimum mining width of 2.5m (horizontal) is applied to the lodes. The lower parts of the HBJ deposit are assumed to be mineable via sub-level open stoping or sub-level caving. The Mount Marion deposit is assumed to be amenable to underground mining via open stoping means which is consistent with the mining practices adopted for the Mount Marion deposit. **CMGP** Variable by deposit..
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	**HGO** Metallurgical test work is carried out on a project by project basis. The Higginsville plant is approximately 5.5 years old and routinely averages over 96% recovery when being fed with Trident material **SKO** The majority of the SKO resource base comprises deposits that have some level of mining history and hence established metallurgical properties. **CMGP** Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Environmental factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	**HGO** • Tailings are discharged to the nearby tailings storage facility and also used to form cemented backfill for underground operations. • Process water is pumped 30 km from the Chalice open pit to the Aphrodites pit from which it is stored prior to pumping to the process mill • Potable water is pumped from the Coolgardie–Norseman water pipe line and is provided by the state water provider. • Water used in the Trident mine for mining operations is recycled from underground and stored in the nearby Poseidon North Pit before being returned for underground use. **SKO** The significant operational history at SKO has allowed for a consistent set of environmental assumptions to be applied to the mineral resource deposits in the region. **CMGP** BBGO operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the respective leases.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Bulk density	• Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. • The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. • Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	**HGO** • For Trident bulk densities were assessed via test work and assigned to the model. Samples were selected to cover the full range of lithology types and ore types across the deposit. Individual unbroken half core samples of approximately 30cm length were randomly selected from within specified metre intervals. Samples were sent to the Genalysis Laboratory in Kalgoorlie, where mass and volumes (by water immersion) were measured and bulk density calculated. • Where no drill core or other direct measurements are available, SG factors have been assumed based on similarities to other zones of mineralisation / lithologies or from historic production records. **SKO** • For the HBJ, Mount Marion, Pernatty and Mount Martin deposits, density values were based on historic mining reconciliations combined with bulk density check test work. • Bulk densities were assigned based on the host rock, mineralisation style and oxidation state, all of which were coded into the block models. **CMGP** • Bulk density of the mineralisation at the CMGP is variable and is for the most part lithology rather than mineralisation dependent. Bulk density sampling is undertaken via assessments of drill core and grab samples. • A significant past mining history has validated the assumptions made surrounding bulk density at the CMGP.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. • Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	• Resources are classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters, input data and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach considers all relevant factors and reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	• Resource estimates are peer reviewed by the site technical team. • No external reviews have been undertaken.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported resources estimates are considered robust, and representative on both a global and local scale. • A continuing history of mining with good reconciliation of mine claimed to mill recovered provides confidence in the accuracy of the estimates.

SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	• Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. • Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	• At all projects, all Resources that have been converted to Reserve are classified as either an Indicated or Measured Resource. Indicated Resources are only upgraded to Probable Reserves after adding appropriate modifying factors. Some Measured Resource may be classified as Proven Reserves and some are classified as Probable Reserve based on whether they are capitally or fully developed.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Mr Poepjes visits Metals X Gold Operations operations on a regular basis and is actively involved in budgets / forecasts and physical mining processes at the operating mines.
Study status	• The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. • The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered	**HGO** • Mining is in progress at HGO. • The Trident Underground mine began production in late 2008. The mining methodology, design layouts, production performance, mining modifying factors and cost profiles used in the 2015 Mineral Reserve are therefore reflective of this history. • Underground mining costs have been derived from the current Australian Contract Mining (ACM) rates. • The Lake Cowan Mining Centre (including Louis Pit) was mined in the 2000's by Harmony Gold. The Reserve for Louis involves depth and width extension of the current Pit. • Following exploration and infill drilling activity, annual resource updates and economic assessment of the Measured and Indicated resources is completed using actual costs, operating parameters and modifying factors. An annual update of Ore Reserves is completed on this basis. **SKO** • Mining is in progress at SKO. • Following exploration and infill drilling activity, annual resource updates and economic assessment of the Measured and Indicated resources is completed using actual costs, operating parameters and modifying factors. An annual update of Ore Reserves is completed on this basis. **CMGP** • Mining is in progress at CMGP. • Following exploration and infill drilling activity, annual resource updates and economic assessment of the Measured and Indicated resources is completed using actual costs, operating parameters and modifying factors. An annual update of Ore Reserves is completed on this basis.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• Underground Mines - Cut off grades were determined for the various mining methods and various mining sections in the mines. The COG's have been applied to both development and stope production from their respective areas. • Open Pit Mines - The pit rim cut-off grade (COG) was determined as part of the Reserve estimation. The pit rim COG determines which material will be processed by equating the operating cost of processing and selling to the value of the mining block in terms of recovered metal and the expected selling price. The COG is then used to determine whether or not a mining block should be delivered to the treatment plant for processing, stockpiled as low-grade or taken to the waste dump as waste.
Mining factors or assumptions	• The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). • The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. • The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. • The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). • The mining dilution factors used. • The mining recovery factors used. • Any minimum mining widths used. • The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. • The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	• Ore Reserves have been undertaken on a 'bottom up' process – with the physicals reflecting mine designs rather than Resource conversion factors or Whittle optimisations. **HGO** • Mining methodologies for underground Reserves centre on long hole open stoping. However, there are areas which are designed as narrow vein up hole or flat bench stoping. All methods described in the Reserve have either been trialled successfully and/or implemented historically. The stope design parameters take into account the different mining shapes and are based on specific geology and geotechnical domains associated with those areas. Stope shapes, level layouts and extraction sequences are designed cognisant of local and regional ground conditions. Where deteriorating ground conditions are expected or where significant fault planes run adjacent to mineralisation, stope shapes are altered to encompass these conditions and sequenced early to ensure recovery is possible. • Dilution factors vary pending the orebody style and host rock conditions as well as from mining sequence and development layouts. • Each mining method applied has a minimum width, which corresponds to sub level distances, blast hole drill accuracy constraints, nature of the mineralisation and/or fleet flexibility. • With the implementation of paste filling at Trident and the utilisation of remote loaders with telecabins, a 100% mining recovery factor is applied to the stope physicals. • No Inferred resources are included with the Reserve Statement. • Both underground mines are established production centres and have been in operation for several years. Mining methodologies forecasted in the Reserve are those currently being utilised. • Conventional open pit mining methodologies and sequencing have been applied to open pits. • A 6% dilution factor has been applied to Louis Reserve. • Louis has a 95% mining recovery factor. • Wall angles used in the Louis Pit are reflective of the historical parameters used. • Lake Cowan has pre-existing haulage routes and site earthworks. Re-establishment of the haulage route into Higginsville has been costed as is included within the economic analysis.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		SKO • Pit and underground reserves have all been subject to detailed mine design. • Stockpile resources have been converted to reserves by application of appropriate modifying factors. • Feasibility Evaluations have incorporated dewatering requirements. • Open Pit geotechnical parameters have been supplied by Geotechnical Consultant following site inspection. • Open Pits have been designed to ensure a minimum 25m bench width. **CMGP** • Pit and underground reserves have all been subject to detailed mine design. • Stockpile resources have been converted to reserves by application of appropriate modifying factors. • Feasibility Evaluations have incorporated dewatering requirements. • Open Pit geotechnical parameters have been supplied by Geotechnical Consultant following site inspection. • Open Pits have been designed to ensure a minimum 25m bench width.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. • Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. • The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. • Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. • The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. • For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	**HGO** • Gold extraction is achieved using staged crushing, ball milling with gravity concentration and Carbon in Leach. The Higginsville plant has operated since 2008 and historical recoveries on Trident ore average 97% • Treatment of ore is via conventional gravity recovery / intensive cyanidation and CIL is applied as industry standard technology. • Additional test-work is instigated where notable changes to geology and mineralogy are identified. Small scale batch leach tests on primary Louis ore have indicated lower recoveries (80%) associated with finer gold and sulphide mineralisation. • There have been no major examples of deleterious elements affecting gold extraction levels or bullion quality. Some minor variations in sulphide mineralogy have had short-term impacts on reagent consumptions. • No bulk sample testing is required whilst geology/mineralogy is consistent based on treatment plant performance. **SKO** • A long history of processing through the existing facility demonstrates the appropriateness of the process to the styles of mineralisation considered. • No deleterious elements are considered, as a long history of processing has shown this to be not a material concern.. **CMGP** • A long history of processing through the existing facility demonstrates the appropriateness of the process to the styles of mineralisation considered. • No deleterious elements are considered, as a long history of processing has shown this to be not a material concern

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Environmental	• The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	**HGO** • The Higginsville mine operates under and in compliance with a number of operating environmental plans, which cover its environmental impacts and outputs. • Waste is generally stored underground in mined out stopes. When underground stopes are not available, waste is placed on approved surface waste dumps or capping material for historical tailings dams. • Waste rock created from the Open Pit operations is stored alongside the pit crest. **SKO** • SKO operates under and in compliance with a number of operating environmental plans, which cover its environmental impacts and outputs. **CMGP** • CMGP operates under and in compliance with a number of operating environmental plans, which cover its environmental impacts and outputs.
Infrastructure	• The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	**HGO** • Trident is currently active and have substantial infrastructure in place including a large amount of underground infrastructure, major electrical, ventilation and pumping networks. The main Higginsville location has an operating CIL plant a fully equipped laboratory, extensive workshop, administration facilities and a 350 person single person quarters nearby. • Infrastructure required for open production is also in place. **SKO** • SKO has an operating CIL plant, along with extensive maintenance and administration facilities. • Power and water supplies are in place. • Labour and accommodation is sourced from the nearby city of Kalgoorlie – Boulder. • HBJ is currently active and have substantial infrastructure in place including a large amount of underground infrastructure, major electrical, ventilation and pumping networks. • Infrastructure required for open production is also in place. **CMGP** • CMGP has an operating plant, along with extensive maintenance and administration and accommodation facilities. • Power and water supplies are in place.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Costs	• The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. • The methodology used to estimate operating costs. • Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. • The source of exchange rates used in the study. • Derivation of transportation charges. • The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. • The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	**HGO** *Underground Mines* • Capital Development costs are derived from the current contractor cost model (ACM). CAPEX Infrastructure costs have been sourced either from specific quotes or historical invoices. • Operating costs are derived primarily from the current contractor cost profile (ACM). In areas where works are outside of ACM's scope, alterative contractor costs have been sourced. *Open Pit Mine* • CAPEX has been sourced from a specific quote (Dec 2013). • Operating costs associated with the pit operation are based on schedule of rates from various Kalgoorlie based contractors. These costs are in line with previous pit operations in both SKO and HGO. *Surface and Plant* • The HGO Plant costs are derived from historical cost profiles, with updates from recent consumable negotiations. • Fuel and potable water rates are reflective of current market conditions. • Site Administration and Manning costs are reflective of current conditions. *Royalties* • All private and state royalties have been incorporated into the Reserve cost model.
		SKO • Processing costs are based on actual cost profiles, as are administrative costs. • Both state government and private royalties are incorporated into costings as appropriate. • Mining costs are derived primarily from the current contractor cost profiles in both the open pit and underground environment. **CMGP** • Capital Costs were estimated as part of the DFS. • Operating Costs were estimated as part of the DFS. • WA State Government 2.5% applies. • $5 per oz produced Royalty applies to Great Fingall Deeps
Revenue factors	• The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. • The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	• Mine Revenue is based on the long term forecast of A$ 1,500/oz. • No allowance is made for silver by-products.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Market assessment	• The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. • A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. • Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. • For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	• Detailed economic studies of the gold market and future price estimates are considered by Metals X and applied in the estimation of revenue, cut-off grade analysis and future mine planning decisions. • There remains strong demand and no apparent risk to the long term demand for the gold.
Economic	• The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	**HGO** • The Higginsville NPV assumes a 10% discount rate with no inflation. Mining costs derived from contract rates, Paste Plant costs as per cubes required at a historical A$/m3, G&A costs on a cost per tonne basis and processing cost based on actual cost profiles. **SKO** • The SKO NPV assumes a 10% discount rate with no inflation, G&A costs on a cost per tonne basis and processing costs based on upon actual cost profiles. **CMGP** • For the CMGP, an 8% real discount rate is applied to NPV analysis. • Sensitivity analysis of key financial and physical parameters is applied to future development projects..
Social	• The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	**HGO** • HGO is fully permitted and a major contributor to the local and regional economy. It has no external pressures that impact its operation or which could potentially jeopardise its continuous operation. • As new open pits or underground operations develop the site will require separate environmental approvals from the different regulating bodies. **SKO** • SKO is fully permitted and a major contributor to the local and regional economy. It has no external pressures that impact its operation or which could potentially jeopardise its continuous operation. • As new open pits or underground operations develop the site will require separate environmental approvals from the different regulating bodies. **CMGP** • The CMGP is progressing through environmental and other regulatory permitting.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Other	• To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	• HGO is an active mining project. • SKO is an active mining project. • CMGP is an active mining project.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	• The basis for classification of the resource into different categories is made on a subjective basis. Measured Resources have a high level of confidence and are generally defined in three dimensions and have been accurately defined or capitally and normally developed. Indicated resources have a slightly lower level of confidence but contain substantial drilling and are in most instances capitally developed or well defined from a mining perspective. Inferred resources always contain significant geological evidence of existence and are drilled, but not to the same density. There is no classification of any resource that isn't drilled or defined by substantial physical sampling works. • Some Measured Resources have been classified as Proven and some are defined as Probable Reserves based on internal judgements. • The result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	• Site generated reserves and the parent data and economic evaluation data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage. • It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	**HGO** • Trident reserves are reflective of current operating practices and mine planning processes. All currently reported reserve calculations are considered representative on a local scale. Regular mine reconciliations occur to validate and test the accuracy of the estimates at Trident. A comprehensive production history confirms the validity of the Trident reserve. • Reserve calculations for open pits are cognisant of the historical geological, geotechnical and mining data. Confidence in the Reserve is further achieved with the validation of historical production data and observation of structural orientations on the existing pit walls. **SKO** • All currently reported reserve calculations are considered representative on a local scale. Regular mine reconciliations occur to validate and test the accuracy of the estimates at SKO. **CMGP** • The ore reserve has been completed to a DFS standard and benchmarked against local site historical production and experience, hence confidence in the estimates is high..

APPENDIX 2 – JORC 2012 TABLE 1 – TIN DIVISION
SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. • In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	• Diamond Drilling The bulk of the data used in resource calculations at Renison has been gathered from diamond core. Three sizes have been used historically NQ2 (45.1mm nominal core diameter), LTK60 (45.2mm nominal core diameter) and LTK48 (36.1mm nominal core diameter), with NQ2 currently in use. This core is geologically logged and subsequently halved for sampling. Grade control holes may be whole-cored to streamline the core handling process if required. NQ and HQ core sizes have been recorded as being used at Mount Bischoff. This core is geologically logged and subsequently halved for sampling. There is no diamond drilling for the Rentails Project. • Face Sampling Each development face / round is horizontally chip sampled at Renison. The sampling intervals are domained by geological constraints (e.g. rock type, veining and alteration / sulphidation etc.). Samples are taken in a range from 0.3m up to 1.2m in waste / mullock. All exposures within the orebody are sampled. A similar process would have been followed for historical Mount Bischoff face sampling. There is no face sampling for the Rentails Project. • Sludge Drilling Sludge drilling at Renison is performed with an underground production drill rig. It is an open hole drilling method using water as the flushing medium, with a 64mm (nominal) hole diameter. Sample intervals are ostensibly the length of the drill steel. Holes are drilled at sufficient angles to allow flushing of the hole with water following each interval to prevent contamination. There is no sludge drilling for the Mount Bischoff Project. There is no sludge drilling for the Rentails Project. • RC Drilling RC drilling has been utilised at Mount Bischoff. Drill cuttings are extracted from the RC return via cyclone. The underflow from each interval is transferred via bucket to a four tiered riffle splitter, delivering approximately three kilograms of the recovered material into calico bags for analysis. The residual material is retained on the ground near the hole. Composite samples are obtained from the residue material for initial analysis, with the split samples remaining with the individual residual piles until required for re-split analysis or eventual disposal. There is no RC drilling for the Renison Project. There is no RC drilling for the Rentails Project.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	
Drill sample recovery	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Percussion Drilling This drilling method was used for the Rentails project and uses a rotary tubular drilling cutter which was driven percussively into the tailings. The head of the cutting tube consisted of a 50mm diameter hard tipped cutting head inside which were fitted 4 spring steel fingers which allowed the core sample to enter and then prevented it from falling out as the drill tube was withdrawn from the drill hole. There is no percussion drilling for the Renison Project. There is no percussion drilling for the Mount Bischoff Project. • All geology input is logged and validated by the relevant area geologists, incorporated into this is assessment of sample recovery. No defined relationship exists between sample recovery and grade. Nor has sample bias due to preferential loss or gain of fine or coarse material been noted.
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged	• Diamond core is logged geologically and geotechnically. • RC chips are logged geologically. • Development faces are mapped geologically. • Logging is qualitative in nature. • All holes are logged completely, all faces are mapped completely.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• Drill core is halved for sampling. Grade control holes may be whole-cored to streamline the core handling process. • Samples are dried at 90°C, then crushed to <3mm. Samples are then riffle split to obtain a sub-sample of approximately 100g which is then pulverized to 90% passing 75um. 2g of the pulp sample is then weighed with 12g of reagents including a binding agent, the weighed sample is then pulverized again for one minute. The sample is then compressed into a pressed powder tablet for introduction to the XRF. This preparation has been proven to be appropriate for the style of mineralisation being considered. • QA/QC is ensured during the sub-sampling stages process via the use of the systems of an independent NATA / ISO accredited laboratory contractor. • The sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. • The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required. • For RC chips regular field duplicates are collected and analysed for significant variance to primary results.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• Assaying is undertaken via the pressed powder XRF technique. Sn, As and Cu have a detection limit 0.01%, Fe and S detection limits are 0.1%. These assay methodologies are appropriate for the resource in question. • All assay data has built in quality control checks. Each XRF batch of twenty consists of one blank, one internal standard, one duplicate and a replicate, anomalies are re-assayed to ensure quality control. • Specific gravity / density values for individual areas are routinely sampled during all diamond drilling where material is competent enough to do so.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• Anomalous intervals as well as random intervals are routinely checked assayed as part of the internal QA/QC process. • Virtual twinned holes have been drilled in several instances across all sites with no significant issues highlighted. Drillhole data is also routinely confirmed by development assay data in the operating environment. • Primary data is loaded into the drillhole database system and then archived for reference. • All data used in the calculation of resources and reserves are compiled in databases (underground and open pit) which are overseen and validated by senior geologists. • No primary assays data is modified in any way.
Location of data points	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• All data is spatially oriented by survey controls via direct pickups by the survey department. Drillholes are all surveyed downhole, currently with a GyroSmart tool in the underground environment at Renison, and a multishot camera for the typically short surface diamond holes. • All drilling and resource estimation is undertaken in local mine grid at the various sites. • Topographic control is generated from remote sensing methods in general, with ground based surveys undertaken where additional detail is required. This methodology is adequate for the resource in question.
Data spacing and distribution	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied.	• Drilling in the underground environment at Renison is nominally carried-out on 40m x 40m spacing in the south of the mine and 25m, x 25m spacing in the north of the mine prior to mining occurring. A lengthy history of mining has shown that this data spacing is appropriate for the Mineral Resource estimation process and to allow for classification of the resource as it stands. • Drilling at Mount Bischoff is variably spaced. A lengthy history of mining has shown that this data spacing is appropriate for the Mineral resource estimation process and to allow for classification of the resource as it stands. • Drilling at Rentails is usually carried out on a 100m centres. This is appropriate for the Mineral resource estimation process and to allow for classification of the resource as it stands. • Compositing is carried out based upon the modal sample length of each individual domain.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Drilling intersections are nominally designed to be normal to the orebody as far as underground infrastructure constraints / topography allows. • Development sampling is nominally undertaken normal to the various orebodies. • It is not considered that drilling orientation has introduced an appreciable sampling bias.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• At Renison, Mount Bischoff and Rentails samples are delivered directly to the on-site laboratory by the geotechnical crew where they are taken into custody by the independent laboratory contractor.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data	• Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	• All Tasmania resources are hosted within 12M1995 and 12M2006. Both tenements are standard Tasmanian mining leases. • No native title interests are recorded against the Tasmanian tenements. Native title interests are recorded against the Queensland tenements. • Tasmanian tenements are held by the Bluestone Mines Tasmania Joint Venture of which Metals X has 50% ownership. • No royalties above legislated state royalties apply for the Tasmanian tenements. • Bluestone Mines Tasmania Joint Venture operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the mining leases. • There are no known issues regarding security of tenure.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other partie	• The Renison and Mount Bischoff areas have an exploration and production history in excess of 100 years. • Bluestone Mines Tasmania Joint Venture work has generally confirmed the veracity of historic exploration data.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	• Renison is one of the world's largest operating underground tin mines and Australia's largest primary tin producer. Renison is the largest of three major Skarn, carbonate replacement, pyrrhotite-cassiterite deposits within western Tasmania. The Renison Mine area is situated in the Dundas Trough, a province underlain by a thick sequence of Neoproterozoic-Cambrian siliciclastic and volcaniclastic rocks. At Renison there are three shallow-dipping dolomite horizons which host replacement mineralisation. • Mount Bischoff is the second of three major Skarn, carbonate replacement, pyrrhotite-cassiterite deposits within western Tasmania. The Mount Bischoff Mine area is situated within the Dundas Trough, a province underlain by a thick sequence of Neoproterozoic-Cambrian siliciclastic and volcaniclastic rocks. At Mount Bischoff folded and faulted shallow-dipping dolomite horizons host replacement mineralisation with fluid interpreted to be sourced from the forceful emplacement of a granite ridge and associated porphyry intrusions associated with the Devonian Meredith Granite, which resulted in the complex brittle / ductile deformation of the host rocks. Lithologies outside the current mining area are almost exclusively metamorphosed siltstones. Major porphyry dykes and faults such as the Giblin and Queen provided the major focus for ascending hydrothermal • fluids from a buried ridge of the Meredith Granite. Mineralisation has resulted in tin-rich sulphide replacement in the dolomite lodes, greisen and sulphide lodes in the porphyry and fault / vein lodes in the major faults. All lodes contain tin as cassiterite within sulphide mineralisation with some coarse cassiterite as veins throughout the lodes. • The Rentails resource is contained within three Tailing Storage Facilities (TSF's) that have been built up from the processing of tin ore at the Renison Bell mine over the period 1968 to 2013

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: » easting and northing of the drill hole collar » elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar » dip and azimuth of the hole » down hole length and interception depth » hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Exploration assessment and normal mine extensional drilling continues to take place at Renison. • Exploration assessment continues to progress at Mount Bischoff. • Project assessment continues to progress at Rentails.

SECTION 3 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. • Data validation procedures used.	• Drillhole data is stored in a Maxwell's DataShed system based on the Sequel Server platform which is currently considered "industry standard". • As new data is acquired it passes through a validation approval system designed to pick-up any significant errors before the information is loaded into the master database. The information is uploaded by a series of Sequel routines and is performed as required. The database contains diamond drilling (including geotechnical and specific gravity data), face chip and sludge drilling data and some associated metadata. By its nature this database is • large in size, and therefore exports from the main database are undertaken (with or without the application of spatial and various other filters) to create a database of workable size, preserve a snapshot of the database at the time of orebody modelling and interpretation and preserve the integrity of the master database.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Mr Russell visits the active sites on a regular basis.
Geological interpretation	• Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. • Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. • The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. • The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. • The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	• Mining has occurred since 1800's providing significant confidence in the currently geological interpretation across all projects. • No alternative interpretations are currently considered viable. • Geological interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure that the resultant estimated Mineral Resource figure was both sufficiently constrained, and representative of the expected sub-surface conditions. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • The architecture of the Renison horst / graben system is the dominant control on geological and grade continuity. • Similarly at Mount Bischoff the extent of intrusive felsic dykes in proximity to carbonate horizons control the continuity of grade within the system. • The depositional history of Rentails is well documented.
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	• Renison has currently been mined over a strike length of >1,950m, a lateral extent of >1,250m and a depth of over 1,100m. • Mount Bischoff mineralisation has currently been defined over a strike length of >600m, a lateral extent of >250m and a depth of >250m. • Rentails is deposited in three adjacent TSF's which have and aggregate length of approximately 1.8km and a width at the widest point of circa 1km. Maximum depth is in excess of 20m.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Estimation and modelling techniques	• The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	• All modelling and estimation work undertaken by Bluestone is carried out in three dimensions via Surpac Vision. • After validating the drillhole data to be used in the estimation, interpretation of the orebody is undertaken in sectional and / or plan view to create the outline strings which form the basis of the three dimensional orebody wireframe. Wireframing is then carried out using a combination of automated stitching algorithms and manual triangulation to create an accurate three dimensional representation of the sub-surface mineralised body. • Drillhole intersections within the mineralised body are defined, these intersections are then used to flag the appropriate sections of the drillhole database tables for compositing purposes. Drillholes are subsequently composited to allow for grade estimation. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • Once the sample data has been composited, a statistical analysis is undertaken to assist with determining estimation search parameters, top-cuts etc. Variographic analysis of individual domains is undertaken to assist with determining appropriate search parameters. Which are then incorporated with observed geological and geometrical features to determine the most appropriate search parameters. • An empty block model is then created for the area of interest. This model contains attributes set at background values for the various elements of interest as well as density, and various estimation parameters that are subsequently used to assist in resource categorisation. The block sizes used in the model will vary depending on orebody geometry, minimum mining units, estimation parameters and levels of informing data available. • Grade estimation is then undertaken, with ordinary kriging estimation method is considered as standard, although in some circumstances where sample populations are small, or domains are unable to be accurately defined, inverse distance weighting estimation techniques will be used. Both by-product and deleterious elements are estimated at the time of primary grade estimation. It is assumed that by-products correlate well with tin. There are no assumptions made about the recovery of by-products. • The resource is then depleted for mining voids and subsequently classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach has proven to be applicable to Metals X's tin assets. • Estimation results are routinely validated against primary input data, previous estimates and mining output. • Good reconciliation between mine claimed figures and milled figures is routinely achieved.
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• Tonnage estimates are dry tonnes.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The resource reporting cut-off grade is 0.7% Sn at Renison. • The resource reporting cut-off grade is 0.5% Sn at Mount Bischoff. • There is no lower reporting cut-off grade for Rentails

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Environmental factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	• Bluestone Mines Tasmania Joint Venture operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the respective mining leases.
Bulk density	• Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. • The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. • Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	• Bulk density of the mineralisation at Renison and Mount Bischoff is variable. Bulk density sampling is undertaken via assessments of drill core (BMTJV practice is to undertake bulk density determinations on a representative selection of drill core sent for assay), and are reviewed constantly (BMTJV practice is to collect check SG samples as a regular part of the mining cycle). Where no drill core or other direct measurements are available, SG factors have been assumed based on similarities to other zones of mineralisation. • Given the volume of the TSF's are known, and the tonnage of tailings material deposited into the dams was recorded, the insitu bulk density of the Rentails resource has been back-calculated.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. • Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	• Resources are classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters, the input data and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach considers all relevant factors and reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	• Resource estimates are peer reviewed by the site technical team as well as Metals X's Corporate technical team.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported resources estimates are considered robust, and representative on both a global and local scale. • A continuing history of mining with good reconciliation of mine claimed to mill recovered provides confidence in the accuracy of the estimate for Renison and Mount Bischoff. • A detailed set of production records provides confidence in the accuracy of the estimate for Rentails.

SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	• Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. • Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	• At all projects, all Mineral Resources that have been converted to Ore Reserves are classified as either an Indicated or Measured Resource. Indicated Resources are only upgraded to Probable Reserves after adding appropriate modifying factors. Some Measured Resource may be classified as Proven Reserves and some is classified as Probable Reserve based on whether is capitally or fully developed.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Mr Michael Poepjes visits the Tasmanian operations on a regular basis.
Study status	• The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. • The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered	• Mining is in progress at Renison and has occurred for nearly 50 years. Following exploration and infill drilling activity, annual resource updates and economic assessment of the Measured and Indicated resources is completed using actual costs, operating parameters and modifying factors. An annual update of Ore Reserves is completed on this basis. With regard to the Rentails Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve, the proposed Rentails Tailings Re-treatment Project has been subject to a Definitive Feasibility Study to validate the operating parameters applied. Increases in both the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve for Rentails are a direct reflection of total tailings output to the tailings dam from the operating Renison tin concentrator plant. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The cut-off grade used for inclusion in the Renison Reserve is 0.8% Sn based on economic assessment and current operating and market parameters. No consideration is given to copper co-product revenue in the economic assessment as the mining and recovery of the material is ad hoc and occurs as a consequence of mining tin. • There is no lower cut-off for reporting of the Rentails Reserve as the entire resource will be mined as far as physical constraints allow. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). • The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. • The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. • The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). • The mining dilution factors used. • The mining recovery factors used. • Any minimum mining widths used. • The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. • The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	• The Renison mine predominantly applies an up-hole benching with in some cases post fill and cemented aggregate fill to fill voids. The mining method has been successfully applied over the past decade with small tweaks and geotechnical considerations progressively applied. • Mining dilution for the Mining Reserve is generally 25% at zero grade. • Minimum mining width of underground development is 3.5m and for underground stoping a minimum width of 1.5m is applied. • A mining recovery 80% of the material developed and/or stoped is applied. • No Inferred resources are included within either the Reserve or the mine plan. • Rentails resources have been converted to reserve via a DFS study. • Rentails will be mined via a combination of dredging and monitoring. • Mining dilution at Rentails is minimal. • Mining recovery at Rentails will exceed 95%. • No Inferred resources are included within either the Rentails Reserve or the mine plan. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. • Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. • The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. • Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. • The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. • For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	• The Renison mine produces a tin concentrate of grade varying between 50- 60 % Sn with internal process designed to reduce penalty metals such as iron, sulphur, tungsten and copper. • The metallurgical process is complex and applies several stages of gravity-type concentration as well as sulphide and oxide flotation, regrinding and acid leach methods. The method is proven and has successfully operated for over 45 years. • The metallurgical recovery as estimated based on regression analysis of grade recovery curves from the actual processing of ores in the plant. • Metallurgical recoveries on the various ore and grades were considered as part of the cut-off grade analysis. • The process proposed by Rentails project is to regrind the ores to a finer grind, the pre-concentration using sulphide and oxide flotation, and high-g-force gravity separation to produce a low-grade concentrate which is planned to be processed using an Ausmelt process to fume the tin to a high grade concentrate and a copper matte. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.
Environmental	• The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	• Waste is generally stored underground in old mine voids. Smaller amounts are placed on approved dumps. • The Renison mine operates under and in compliance with a number of operating permits, which cover its environmental impacts and outputs. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Infrastructure	• The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	• The Renison mine is currently active and has substantial in place infrastructure including a large amount of mine infrastructure, major electrical and pumping networks, and underground primary crusher and automated shaft hoist system, a 650,000tpa • tin concentrator plant, a fully equipped laboratory, extensive workshop, administration facilities and a 100 person single person quarters nearby. • The Rentails Project will be integrated with the Renison Project. There is sufficient land set aside for the Rentails expansion and future infrastructure requirements including tailings storage. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.
Costs	• The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. • The methodology used to estimate operating costs. • Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. • The source of exchange rates used in the study. • Derivation of transportation charges. • The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. • The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	• Mining costs for the Renison mine are based on Actual Mining Contractor Costs, actual realised costs and future budget estimates for all other functions at the existing mine. • Costs for the Rentails Project have been defined through a Definitive Feasibility Study. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff
Revenue factors	• The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. • The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	• For the Renison Mine, revenue is based upon existing smelter contract costs and a base international tin price of A$25,000. No co-product revenue is considered in Mining Reserve or cut-off grade estimation. • For the Rentails Project, similar industry based smelter contracts are considered. Credits for sale of a high-grade copper matte product are considered and applied as co-product revenue in the estimation of operating costs. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.
Market assessment	• The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. • A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. • Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. • For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	• Detailed economic studies of the tin market and future price estimates are considered by Metals X and applied in the estimation of revenue, cut-off grade analysis and future mine planning decisions. • There remains strong demand and no apparent risk to the long term demand for the tin products and/or copper products generated from the project.
Economic	• The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	• As an operating mine, internal cash flow estimates and impairment models apply an implied 8% real discount rate for NPV analysis and only economically viable ores are considered for mining. The mine is operated under a Joint venture and carries no external debt. • For the Rentails Project, which is yet to be funded, an 8% real discount rate is applied to NPV analysis. • Sensitivity analysis of key financial and physical parameters is applied to future development project considerations and mine. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Social	• The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	• The Renison mine is fully permitted and a major contributor to the local and regional economy. It has no external pressures that impact its operation or which could potentially jeopardise its continuous operation. • The Rentails Project is yet to start and will require environmental and other regulatory permitting. • The Mount Bischoff Project is currently closed and the site is under care and maintenance whilst addition drilling and economic evaluation or remaining resources is considered.
Other	• To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	• Renison is an active mining project.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	• Some Measured Resources have been classified as Proven and some are defined as Probable Reserves based on subjective internal judgements, but generally based upon the intensity of capital and normal development they have been subjected to. • The result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	• Site generated Reserves and the parent data and economic evaluation data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team. Resources and Reserves have in the past been subjected to external expert reviews, which have ratified them with no issues. There is no regular external consultant review process in place.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage. • It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported Reserve calculations are considered representative on a local-scale. Regular mine reconciliations occur to validate and test the accuracy of the estimates at Renison. A comprehensive production history confirms the validity of the Rentails reserve. • No reserve is stated for Mount Bischoff.

APPENDIX 3 – JORC 2012 TABLE 1 – NICKEL DIVISION
SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. • In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	• Diamond Drilling A small portion of the data used in resource calculations at the Central Musgrave Project (CMP) has been gathered from diamond core. This core is geologically logged prior to sampling. • RC Drilling RC drilling has been utilised extensively at the CMP. Drill cuttings are extracted from the RC return via cyclone. The underflow from each interval is transferred via bucket to a four tiered riffle splitter, delivering approximately three kilograms of the recovered material into calico bags for analysis. The residual material is retained on the ground near the hole. Composite samples are obtained from the residue material for initial analysis, with the split samples remaining with the individual residual piles until required for re-split analysis or eventual disposal. • Historial • A variety of drilling methods were employed by INCO, including churn drilling (102 holes) DDH (19 holes) RAB Drilling (2,643 holes) Vacuum (77 holes) Becker Drilling (102 holes). • Sample recovery from early drilling by INCO is not known. Sample recovery from RC drilling carried out from RC drilling after 2001 was generally very good, except where the drill encountered strong water flow from the hole. • All geology input is logged and validated by the relevant area geologists, incorporated into this is assessment of sample recovery. No defined relationship exists between sample recovery and grade. Nor has sample bias due to preferential loss or gain of fine or coarse material been noted.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	
Drill sample recovery	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged	• Diamond core is logged geologically and geotechnically. • RC hole chips are logged geologically. • Logging is quantitative in nature. • All holes are logged completely.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• A sample of each 5ft of drilling from INCO drilling were quartered and forwarded for assay, either to AMDEL in Adelaide, or to INCO's in-house laboratory at Blackstone. • Samples of RC drilling taken prior to 2006 were composited on 3 or 4m basis, and the composite assayed. A 1m riffle-split sample was also taken for each metre drilled, and was submitted for analysis if the composite assayed >0.4%Ni. • Sub sampling for the 2006 and later RC drilling were riffle split each 2m sample drilled. • Chips / core chips undergo total preparation. • QA/QC is currently ensured during the sub-sampling stages process via the use of the systems of an independent NATA / ISO accredited laboratory contractor. A portion of the historical informing data has been processed by in-house laboratories. • The sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. • The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required. • For RC chips regular field duplicates are collected and analysed for significant variance to primary results.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• Samples of INCO's drilling were dried and assayed by AAS either at AMDEL in Adelaide, or at INCO's in-house laboratory at Blackstone. The digest method was not specified. Samples were assayed for Ni, Co and Fe. Analytical quality control was maintained by the by the insertion of standard samples and re-analysis of duplicates at separate laboratories at a frequency of two check analyses for every twenty samples. • Composite samples of RC drilling completed in 2001 were submitted to AMDEL, dried and pulverised, and assayed for Ni, Co, Ag, As, Bi, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mg, Mn, Pb, S, Sb, Ti, V, Zr, Ca and Al by HF-multi-acid digest / ICP-OES. The 1m riffle-splits for any composite sample assaying • >0.4%Ni were retrieved, and re-assayed using the same method. • Composite samples from 2002-2004 were assayed for Al, Ca, Cr, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Si, Ti by borate fusion ICP-OES, and for Ag, As, Bi, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, S, Sb, V, Zr by HF-multi-acid digest / ICP-OES. • During 2005 two-metre composite riffle-split (or spear-sampled for wet samples) samples were sent to SGS Laboratories in Perth. Each 2m composite sample was dried and pulverised to a nominal 90 per cent passing 75 microns and analysed for: As, Bi, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, S and • Zn by ICP-OES. Samples returning >0.4%Ni were re-assayed for Ni, Co, Al2O3, CaO, K2O, Fe2O3, MgO, MnO, Na2O, SiO2, V2O5, TiO2, Cr, SO3, Cu, Zn by fused disc XRF. • After 2005 two-metre composite riffle-split (or spear-sampled) samples were sent to SGS Laboratories in Perth. Each sample was pulverised to nominal 90 per cent passing 75 micron for analysis for assay for Ni, Co, Al2O3, SiO2, TiO2, Fe2O3, MnO, CaO, K2O, MgO, SO3, Na2O, V2O5, Cr, Cu and Zn by fused disc XRF. • Duplicate samples were taken by spearing the sample pile on the ground approximately every 20 samples, and an in-house standard was inserted into the sample run every alternate 20 samples. • No significant QA/QC issues have arisen in recent drilling results. • These assay methodologies are appropriate for the resource in question.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• Anomalous intervals as well as random intervals are routinely checked assayed as part of the internal QA/QC process. • Virtual twinned holes have been drilled in several instances across all sites with no significant issues highlighted. • Primary data is loaded into the drillhole database system and then archived for reference. • All data used in the calculation of resources and reserves are compiled in databases which are overseen and validated by senior geologists. • No primary assays data is modified in any way.
Location of data points	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• All hole collar locations for RC holes drilled after 2000 were surveyed by using a Real Time Kinematic GPS. This measured X, Y and Z to sub-centimetre accuracy in terms of the MGA94, Zone 52 metric grid. • Hole collars for almost all INCO drill holes were re-located, and survey in using the RTK GPS. Several INCO collars could not be located, and their MGA positions are estimated from their drilled location on the original INCO Imperial local grid. • Topographic control is generated from a combination of remote sensing methods and ground-based surveys. This methodology is adequate for the resource in question.
Data spacing and distribution	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied.	• Drill hole spacing at CMP is generally on a 120m x 50m spacing. This has been filled-in to 60 x 50 and 30m x 25m spacing in some areas. The data spacing is sufficient for both the estimation procedure and resource classification applied. • Compositing of drill assay data to 1.5m was used in the estimate.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Drilling intersections are nominally designed to be sub-normal to the orebody. • It is not considered that drilling orientation has introduced an appreciable sampling bias.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Samples are delivered to a third party transport service, who in turn relay them to the independent laboratory contractor. Samples are stored securely until they leave site.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data	• Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	• The CMP comprises 5 granted exploration leases and 1 granted miscellaneous lease. • Native title interests are recorded against the CMP tenements. • The CMP tenements are held by the Austral Nickel Pty Ltd (South Australia) and Hinckley Range Pty Ltd (Western Australia). Metals X has 100% ownership of both companies. • One third party royalty agreement applies to the tenements at CMP, over and above the state government royalty. • Hinckley Range and Austral Nickel operate in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the leases. • There are no known issues regarding security of tenure. • There are no known impediments to continued operation.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other partie	• The CMP area has an exploration history which extends to the 1960's, with significant contributors being INCO, Acclaim and Metex Nickel. • On balance, MLX work has generally confirmed the veracity of historic exploration data.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	• The Musgrave Block is an east-west trending, structurally bounded mid-Proterozoic terrane some 130,000km^2 in area, straddling the common borders of Western Australia, South Australia and the Northern Territory. • Deep weathering of olivine-rich ultramafic units has resulted in the concentration of nickel mineralisation. The olivines in the ultramafic units have background values of about 0.15% Ni to 0.3% Ni. The almost complete removal of Mg0 and Si02 to ground waters during the weathering of olivines in the ultramafic units resulted in extreme volume reductions and consequent significant upgrading of other rock forming oxides (Fe203, Al203) and metal element concentrations in the weathered profile.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: » easting and northing of the drill hole collar » elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar » dip and azimuth of the hole » down hole length and interception depth » hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Exploration and mine planning assessment continues to take place at the CMP.

SECTION 3 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. • Data validation procedures used.	• Drillhole data is stored in a Maxwell's DataShed system based on the SQL Server platform which is currently considered "industry standard". • As new data is acquired it passes through a validation approval system designed to pick up any significant errors before the information is loaded into the master database. The information is uploaded by a series of Sequel routines and is performed as required. The database contains diamond drilling (including geotechnical and specific gravity data), and some associated metadata. By its nature this database is large in size, and therefore exports from the main database are undertaken (with or without the application of spatial • and various other filters) to create a database of workable size, preserve a snapshot of the database at the time of orebody modelling and interpretation and preserve the integrity of the master database.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• The site is manned continually by Senior Geological personnel. • As no material update to the resource has been undertaken since early 2008 no recent site visits by the Competent Person have been undertaken.
Geological interpretation	• Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. • Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. • The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. • The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. • The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	• Confidence in the geological model used to constrain the Wingellina estimate is high, with the genetic model for lateritic nickel development well understood. Logged geology has been used to drive the mineralisation interpretation, with the base of laterite defined with drill holes, or its level on a given section interpreted from surrounding drill sections. Continuity • of the interpretation across and along the Wingellina deposit is for the most part good, with intersections of hard rock in drill holes, and well mapped outcropping basement the primary causes of breaks within the mineralised horizon. • No alternative interpretations are currently considered viable. • Geological interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure that the resultant estimated Mineral Resource figure was both sufficiently • constrained, and representative of the expected sub-surface conditions. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • The protolithology is the dominant control on grade continuity at the CMP. Structural controls which influence depth of weathering are secondary controls on grade distribution.
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	• Individual deposit scales vary across the CMP. • The Wingellina deposits are mineralised a strike length of >9km, a lateral extent of up to 2.5km and a depth of up to 200m

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Estimation and modelling techniques	• The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	• All modelling and estimation work undertaken was carried out in three dimensions via either Vulcan or Surpac Vision. • After validating the drillhole data to be used in the estimation, interpretation of the orebody is undertaken in sectional and / or plan view to create the outline strings which form the basis of the three dimensional orebody wireframe. Wireframing is then carried out using • a combination of automated stitching algorithms and manual triangulation to create an accurate three dimensional representation of the sub-surface mineralised body. • Drillhole intersections within the mineralised body are defined, these intersections are then used to flag the appropriate sections of the drillhole database tables for compositing purposes. Drillholes are subsequently composited to allow for grade estimation. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • Once the sample data has been composited, a statistical analysis is undertaken to assist with determining estimation search parameters, top-cuts etc. Variographic analysis of individual domains is undertaken to assist with determining appropriate search parameters. Which are then incorporated with observed geological and geometrical features to determine the most appropriate search parameters. • An empty block model is then created for the area of interest. This model contains attributes set at background values for the various elements of interest as well as density, and various estimation parameters that are subsequently used to assist in resource categorisation. The block sizes used in the model will vary depending on orebody geometry, minimum mining units, estimation parameters and levels of informing data available. • Grade estimation is then undertaken, with ordinary kriging estimation method is considered as standard, although in some circumstances where sample populations are small, or domains are unable to be accurately defined, inverse distance weighting estimation techniques will be used. Both by-product and deleterious elements are estimated at the time of primary grade estimation if required. It is assumed that by-products correlate well with gold. • There are no assumptions made about the recovery of by-products. • The resource is then depleted for mining voids and subsequently classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach has proven to be applicable to Metals X's nickel assets. • Estimation results are routinely validated against primary input data, previous estimates and mining output.
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• Tonnage estimates are dry tonnes.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The resource reporting cut-off grade is 0.5% Ni. • The reporting cut-off used was based on MLX's current interpretation of commodity markets, and to allow peer group comparison.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Environmental factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	• MLX operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the respective leases.
Bulk density	• Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. • The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. • Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	• Sampling of HQ diamond drill core was used to determine the dry density of laterite ore. Average measured dry density is 1.28t/m3. • A total of 281 triple-tube HQ core samples were collected immediately from the core barrel and measured for bulk density on site. The core length was measured for diameter and length (square-cut ends), dried for 24 hours in a gas oven at 120°C, and weighed. • Density was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) of dry sample by the volume of the core piece.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. • Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	• Resources are classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters, the input data and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach considers all relevant factors and reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	• Resource estimates are peer reviewed by the site technical team as well as Metals X's Corporate technical team.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported resources estimates are considered robust, and representative on both a global and local scale.

SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	• Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. • Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	At all projects, all resources that have been converted to reserve are classified as either an Indicated or Measured Resource. Indicated Resources are only upgraded to Probable Reserves after adding appropriate modifying factors. Some Measured Resource may be classified as Proven Reserves and some is classified as Probable Reserve based on whether is capitally or fully developed.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Irregular site visits have been undertaken. The reserve has remained consistent since the 2008 Feasibility Study was completed.
Study status	• The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. • The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered	• A Feasibility Study utilising a combination of internal and external expertise has been undertaken to allow the conversion of Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The cut-off grade used for inclusion in the CMP Reserve were determined through the Feasibility Study process. • Cobalt co-product revenue is considered by the FS.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). • The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. • The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. • The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). • The mining dilution factors used. • The mining recovery factors used. • Any minimum mining widths used. • The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. • The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	• Whittle 4D was used to formulate optimal pit shell, with subsequent designs being undertaken in Surpac. • Mining studies indicate most material will be free digging, but an allowance has been made to blast some material. • The material outcrops on surface and has an overall strip ratio of 1.1:1. Due to the shallow nature and expected ground conditions, slope angles are low. Geotechnical data has been obtained through logging. • The Mineral Resource was used to formulate the Ore Reserves. • Due to the bulk nature of the deposit, limited dilution factors have been used, combined with high recovery factors.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. • Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. • The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. • Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. • The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. • For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	• Based on this preliminary assessment, the Wingellina Deposit should be processed by a pressure acid leach flowsheet. • Pressure acid leach is a proven nickel extraction method both in Australia and globally • Extensive test-work including at pilot plant scale has been conducted on CMP material over the period 1965 to 2013.
Environmental	• The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	• Waste dumps were considered during the Feasibility Study. • A draft Public Environmental Notice has been completed and will be published.
Infrastructure	• The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	• Limited infrastructure is currently present. All required infrastructure was considered in the Feasibility Study. • Infrastructure is considered standard for a remote site set-up.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Costs	• The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. • The methodology used to estimate operating costs. • Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. • The source of exchange rates used in the study. • Derivation of transportation charges. • The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. • The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	• The Feasibility Study was completed in 2008 using both independent and internal cost estimates. These costs were updated in 2012. • Both government and private royalties are payable. All royalties were considered as part of the Feasibility Study.
Revenue factors	• The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. • The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	• The Pre-Feasibility Study progressed utilising assumptions regarding foreign exchange rates and commodity prices presented below. These prices have been set by corporate management and are considered a realistic forecast of expected commodity prices and exchange rates over the initial period of projected operation at Wingellina. Ni = US $20,000/t Co = US $45,000/t Exchange Rate ($AUD : $US) = US $0.85 • Head grades have been defined via Whittle optimisation and subsequent scheduling.
Market assessment	• The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. • A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. • Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. • For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	• Detailed economic studies of the nickel market and future price estimates are considered by Metals X and applied in the estimation of revenue, cut-off grade analysis and future mine planning decisions. • There remains strong demand and no apparent risk to the long term demand for the nickel generated from the project.
Economic	• The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	• For the CMP, which is yet to be funded, an 8% real discount rate is applied to NPV analysis. • Sensitivity analysis of key financial and physical parameters is applied to future development project considerations and mine.
Social	• The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	• The CMP is yet to start and will require environmental and other regulatory permitting.
Other	• To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	• A Native Title agreement has been reached.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	• The basis for classification of the resource into different categories is made on a subjective basis. Measured Resources have a high level of confidence and are generally defined in three dimensions and have been accurately defined or capitally and normally developed. Indicated resources have a slightly lower level of confidence but contain substantial drilling and are in most instances capitally developed or well defined from a mining perspective. Inferred resources always contain significant geological evidence of existence and are drilled, but not to the same density. There is no classification of any resource that isn't drilled or defined by substantial physical sampling works. • Some Measured Resources have been classified as Proven and some are defined as Probable Reserves based on subjective internal judgements, but generally based upon the intensity of capital and normal development they have been subjected to. • The result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	• Site generated reserves and the parent data and economic evaluation data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team. Resources and Reserves have in the past been subjected to external expert reviews, which have ratified them with no issues. There is no regular external consultant review process in place.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage. • It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported reserve calculations are considered representative on a global scale. • Only material considered as part of the Pre-feasibility study has been included as part of the reserve statement. • Limited modifying factors have been applied due to the massive nature of the deposit and the closeness to the surface

APPENDIX 4 – JORC 2012 TABLE 1 – TENNANT CREEK IOCG ORE BODIES
SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. • In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	• Diamond Drilling All data used in resource calculations at the Tennant Creek Project has been gathered from diamond core. Multiple sizes have been used historically. This core is geologically logged and subsequently halved for sampling. • All geology input is logged and validated by the relevant area geologists, incorporated into this is assessment of sample recovery. No defined relationship exists between sample recovery and grade. Nor has sample bias due to preferential loss or gain of fine or coarse material been noted.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	
Drill sample recovery	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged	• Diamond core is logged geologically and geotechnically. • Logging is qualitative in nature. • All holes are logged completely.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• Diamond Drilling - Half-core niche samples, sub-set via geological features as appropriate. • Core undergoes total preparation. • The sample preparation process consists of; » Crushing using a vibrating jaw crusher to achieve a maximum sample size of 4mm. » The sample is then weighed, and if the sample weight is greater than 3.2kg, the sample is split into two using a Jones-type Riffle splitter. » The crushed sample is then pulverised in a Labtech LM5 Ring Mill for 6 minutes. For samples weighing greater than 3.2kg the first portion is removed and second portion is homogenised in the same machine. Once complete the first portion is put back in the LM5 and both portions are homogenised. » From the pulverised sample, approximately 200g is taken as a master sample which stays in Alice Springs, while a second sample of approximately 150g taken and sent to for assaying. These samples are collected via a scoop inserted to the bottom of the bowl. The remaining sample is transferred to a calico bag for storage. » For every 20th sample, an approximately 25g sample is screened to 75 microns to check that homogenising has achieved 80% passing 75 microns. • QA/QC is ensured during sampling via the use of sample ledgers, blanks, standards and repeats. • QA/QC is ensured during the assays process via the use of blanks, standards and repeats at a NATA / ISO accredited laboratory. • The sample sizes are considered appropriate to the grainsize of the material being sampled. • The un-sampled half of diamond core is retained for check sampling if required.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• Analysis of drill core for Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn was carried out in Perth in the following manner; » Gold (Au-AA25 scheme – lower detection limit = 0.01ppm, upper detection limit = 100ppm). A 30g charge of prepared sample is fused with a mixture of lead oxide, sodium carbonate, borax, silica and other reagents and then cupelled to yield a precious metal bead. » The bead is then dissolved in acid and analysed by atomic absorption spectroscopy against matrix-matched standards. » Samples returning assay values in excess of 100g/t Au were repeated using the Au-AA26 method. » Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn (ME-0G62) - A prepared sample is digested using a 4 acid digest. » The subsequent solution is analysed by inductively coupled plasma - atomic emission spectroscopy or by atomic absorption spectrometry. • No significant QA/QC issues have arisen in recent drilling results. • These assay methodologies are appropriate for the resource in question.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• Anomalous intervals as well as random intervals are routinely checked assayed as part of the internal QA/QC process. • Virtual twinned holes have been drilled in several instances with no significant issues highlighted. • Primary data is loaded into the drillhole database system and then archived for reference. • All data used in the calculation of resources are compiled in databases which are overseen and validated by senior geologists. • No primary assays data is modified in any way.
Location of data points	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• All data is spatially oriented by survey controls via direct pickups by the survey department. Drillholes are all surveyed downhole, deeper holes with a Gyro tool if required. • All drilling and resource estimation is undertaken in MGA grid. • Topographic control is generated from a combination of remote sensing methods and ground-based surveys. This methodology is adequate for the resource in question.
Data spacing and distribution	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied.	• Data spacing is variable dependent upon the individual orebody under consideration. This approach is appropriate for the Mineral Resource estimation process and to allow for classification of the resource as it stands. • Compositing is carried out based upon the modal sample length of each individual domain.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Drilling intersections are nominally designed to be normal to the orebody as far topography / economics allows. • Development sampling is nominally undertaken normal to the various orebodies. • It is not considered that drilling orientation has introduced an appreciable sampling bias.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Samples are delivered to a third party transport service, who in turn relay them to the independent laboratory contractor. Samples are stored securely until they leave site.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data	Site generated resources and reserves and the parent geological data is routinely reviewed by the Metals X Corporate technical team.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	• The Tennant Creek Project comprises 5 granted exploration leases. • Native title interests are recorded against the Tennant Creek tenements. • The Tennant Creek tenements are held by Castile with is 100% Metals X owned. • Several third party royalties exist across various tenements at Tennant Creek, over and above the Northern Territory government royalty. • Castile operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the leases. • There are no known issues regarding security of tenure. • There are no known impediments to continued operation.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other partie	• The Tennant Creek area has an exploration and production history in excess of 100 years. The Rover area in particular has an intensive exploration history stretching from the 1970's. • On balance, Castile work has generally confirmed the veracity of historic exploration data.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	• The Tennant Creek Project is located in the 1860-1850Ma Warramunga Province is approximately centred on the township of Tennant Creek, and contains the • Palaeoproterozoic Warramunga Formation. This is a weakly metamorphosed turbiditic succession of partly tuffaceous sandstones and siltstones which includes argillaceous banded ironstones locally referred to as 'haematite shale'. • Copper in the form of chalcopyrite occurs around the upper margins of the quartz magnetite ironstones and in the silicified BIF or haematitic shales that often form an alteration transition to the adjacent chlorite alteration envelope. Although copper levels in the upper quartz magnetite portion of the ironstones is usually very low, pervasive sub-economic copper levels can persist throughout this zone. Economic levels of copper are dominantly contained in the lower massive magnetite portion or in massive magnetite "veins" identified in the magnetite quartz zones. The massive magnetite zones grade laterally and at depth into magnetite chlorite stringer zones. Gold content increases where the content of magnetite veining and chlorite alteration decreases and there is an increase in early haematite dusted quartz veins and indurated sediments and fine chlorite veining related to the mineralisation phase. The transition from massive magnetite copper mineralisation to magnetite quartz chlorite stringer gold mineralisation is also the zone of increased bismuthinite mineralisation. • Lead and zinc mineralisation at Explorer 108 is associated with a brecciated dolomitised sediment unit, consisting of irregular, generally narrow, domains or veins of semi-massive sulphides (sphalerite and galena). A basal "high-grade" zone is present at the contact of the dolomite and lower felsic units.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: » easting and northing of the drill hole collar » elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar » dip and azimuth of the hole » down hole length and interception depth » hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• No drillhole information is being presented in this release.
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Exploration and mine planning assessment continues to take place at the Tennant Creek Project.

SECTION 3 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. • Data validation procedures used.	• Drillhole data is stored in a Maxwell's DataShed system based on the Microsoft SQL Server platform which is currently considered "industry standard". • As new data is acquired it passes through a validation approval system designed to pick-up any significant errors before the information is loaded into the master database. The information is uploaded by a series of Sequel routines and is performed as required. The database contains diamond drilling (including geotechnical and specific gravity data), face chip and sludge drilling data and some associated metadata. By its nature this database is large in size, and therefore exports from the main database are undertaken (with or without the application of spatial and various other filters) to create a database of workable size, preserve a snapshot of the database at the time of orebody modelling and interpretation and preserve the integrity of the master database
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• Mr Russell visits site on an "as required" basis.
Geological interpretation	• Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. • Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. • The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. • The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. • The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	• Mining of similar deposits in the region provides confidence in the current geological interpretation. • No alternative interpretations are currently considered viable. • Geological interpretation of the deposit was carried out using a systematic approach to ensure that the resultant estimated Mineral Resource figure was both sufficiently constrained, and representative of the expected sub-surface conditions. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • The structural regime and the presence of intrusive source bodies are the dominant controls on geological and grade continuity at the Tennant Creek Project.
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	• Individual deposit scales vary across the Tennant Creek Project. • The Rover 1 deposit is mineralised a strike length of >540m, a lateral extent of up +70m and a depth of over 650m. • The Rover 1 deposit is mineralised a strike length of >400m, with a thickness of up to 60m. • The Explorer 142 deposit is mineralised a strike length of >200m, with a thickness of up to 8m.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Estimation and modelling techniques	• The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	• All modelling and estimation work undertaken by Metals X is carried out in three dimensions via Surpac Vision. • After validating the drillhole data to be used in the estimation, interpretation of the orebody is undertaken in sectional and / or plan view to create the outline strings which form the basis of the three dimensional orebody wireframe. Wireframing is then carried out using a combination of automated stitching algorithms and manual triangulation to create an accurate three dimensional representation of the sub-surface mineralised body. • Drillhole intersections within the mineralised body are defined, these intersections are then used to flag the appropriate sections of the drillhole database tables for compositing purposes. Drillholes are subsequently composited to allow for grade estimation. In all aspects of resource estimation the factual and interpreted geology was used to guide the development of the interpretation. • Once the sample data has been composited, a statistical analysis is undertaken to assist with determining estimation search parameters, top-cuts etc. Variographic analysis of individual domains is undertaken to assist with determining appropriate search parameters. Which are then incorporated with observed geological and geometrical features to determine the most appropriate search parameters. • An empty block model is then created for the area of interest. This model contains attributes set at background values for the various elements of interest as well as density, and various estimation parameters that are subsequently used to assist in resource categorisation. The block sizes used in the model will vary depending on orebody geometry, minimum mining units, estimation parameters and levels of informing data available. • Grade estimation is then undertaken, with ordinary kriging estimation method is considered as standard, although in some circumstances where sample populations are small, or domains are unable to be accurately defined, inverse distance weighting estimation techniques will be used. Both by-product and deleterious elements are estimated at the time of primary grade estimation if required. It is assumed that by-products correlate well with gold. • There are no assumptions made about the recovery of by-products. • The resource is then depleted for mining voids and subsequently classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach has proven to be applicable to Metals X's gold assets. • Estimation results are routinely validated against primary input data, previous estimates and mining output. • Good reconciliation between mine claimed figures and milled figures was routinely achieved during past production history.
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• Tonnage estimates are dry tonnes.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The Rover 1 reporting cut-off grade is 2.5g/t Au. • The Explorer 108 reporting cut-off grade is 2.5% Pb + Zn. • The Explorer 142 reporting cut-off grade is 2.5g% Cu.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	• Not considered for Mineral Resource. Applied during the Reserve generation process.
Environmental factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	• Castile operates in accordance with all environmental conditions set down as conditions for grant of the respective leases.
Bulk density	• Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. • The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. • Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	• Bulk density of the mineralisation at the Tennant Creek Project is variable and is lithology, alteration and mineralisation dependent. • For modern drilling, field technicians perform density test-work on core samples on a campaign basis every three months. All density measurements have been determined using the simple water immersion technique. The samples from all holes were well below the base of oxidation and were in generally competent, non-porous rock.
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. • Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	• Resources are classified in line with JORC guidelines utilising a combination of various estimation derived parameters, the input data and geological / mining knowledge. • This approach considers all relevant factors and reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	• Resource estimates are peer reviewed by the site technical team as well as Metals X's Corporate technical team.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• All currently reported resources estimates are considered robust, and representative on both a global and local scale. • No production data exists to compare the resource estimate against.

SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	• Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. • Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Site visits	• Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Study status	• The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. • The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mining factors or assumptions	• The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). • The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. • The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. • The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). • The mining dilution factors used. • The mining recovery factors used. • Any minimum mining widths used. • The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. • The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. • Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. • The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. • Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. • The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. • For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Environmental	• The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Infrastructure	• The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Costs	• The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. • The methodology used to estimate operating costs. • Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. • The source of exchange rates used in the study. • Derivation of transportation charges. • The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. • The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Revenue factors	• The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. • The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Market assessment	• The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. • A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. • Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. • For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Economic	• The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Social	• The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Other	• To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Classification	• The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage. • It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	• No reserve has been stated for the Tennant Creek Project.